

**APPROVED MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE,
HERITAGE OFFICERS' MEETING
Held on Monday, 26 January 2026 at 08:30 via MS Teams**



1. Opening and Welcome

The Chairperson, Mr Ruan Brand, officially opened the meeting at 08:30 and welcomed everyone present.

2. Attendance

Members

Mr. Ruan Brand	Chairperson, Specialist Heritage Officer	RB
Ms. Corne Alexander	Specialist Heritage Officer	CN
Ms. Stephanie Barnardt-Delport	Specialist Heritage Officer	SB
Emily Jane Vowles	Heritage Officer	EJV
Ms. Chiara Singh	Heritage Officer	CSI
Ms. Sofia Briel	Heritage Officer	SVB
Ms. Xola Mlwandle	Heritage Officer	XM
Ms. Chane Herman	Heritage Officer	CH

Staff

Ms. Aneeqah Brown	Secretariat	AB
Ms. Yusrah Hoosain	I&APs group chat	YH
Ms. Nosiphiwo Tafeni	Back-up Secretariat	NT

Observers

Visitors

	Capacity	Item
Malene Campbell	Assisting Applicant assistant	13.4
Denny Jason	Applicant	13.4
Patricia Botha	SIG	13.4
Nicolene Visser	Architects representative	13.11
Laura Milandri	Close out report author	9.6
Walter Peters	Applicant / Heritage Practitioner	14.3
Martin Pallman	Architects representative	14.3
Lize Malan	Applicant / Heritage Practitioner	14.17
Cindy Postlethwayt	Applicant / Heritage Practitioner	14.23
Katie Smuts	Applicant / Heritage Practitioner	14.18, 14.19, 14.62
Peter Syfert	Applicant / Architect	14.46
Johan Cornelius	Applicant / Heritage Practitioner	14.58

3. Apologies

Ms. Waseefa Dhansay	Assistant Director	WD
Ms. Penelope Meyer	Deputy Director	PM

Absent

None.

4. Acceptance of the Code of Conduct

Members and staff raised their hands on the MS Teams platform to indicate acceptance of the Code of Conduct.

5. Approval of Agenda

The Committee resolved to approve the agenda of 26 January 2026 with the following additions:

- Added item 14.62 - Farm RE-1591, Libertas, Stellenbosch, S27-Emergency (RB)

CN moved to adopt the agenda and CSI seconded.

6. Approval of Minutes of the Previous Meeting

6.1. The Committee resolved to approve the minutes of 9 December 2025. CSI moved to adopt the minutes and CH seconded.

6.2. The Committee resolved to approve the minutes of 19 January 2026. CN moved to adopt the minutes and SVB seconded.

7. Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

8. Confidential matters

None.

9. Standing Items

9.1. Site inspections undertaken

None.

9.2 Proposed Site Inspections

None.

9.3 Site Inspection Reports

9.3.1 Erf 178089, Kings Blockhouse, Table Mountain (RB)

- The report has been in front of this Committee twice before with the resolution in the past being that the matter needs to be further ventilated offline with the relevant specialist staff.
- The recommendations of the report were refined between RB and SJ with a renewed set of recommendations that
- If the Committee is satisfied with the report, then it can be endorsed. This endorsement will mean it goes to Council in February for the issuing of a CRO in line with the recommendations worked out by RB and SJ.

COMMENT

The Committee notes the contents of the site inspection report dated 3 November 2025; revised 19 January 2026, and endorses the recommendations of the report, as follows:

1. The site inspection report be noted at HOMs.
2. That it be recommended to Council that a CRO must be issued to secure the King's Blockhouse PHS in line with the CRO conditions detailed in recommendation 3.
3. In order to safeguard the King's Blockhouse PHS and to prevent further deterioration following the recent internal fire damage, the owner, SANParks, is hereby required to undertake the following urgent like-for-like stabilisation and repair works. These interventions must be guided by surviving physical evidence, historic construction methods, and material compatibility with the 18th–19th century fabric of the structure:
 - a. Re-roof the North-facing section on a like-for-like basis, reconstructing the collapsed roof using a matching roof form, pitch, rafter configuration and corrugated sheeting profile as evidenced on the surviving fabric. Temporary waterproofing may be installed immediately if procurement delays arise, but must be replaced with a permanent, sympathetic like-for-like roof as soon as possible. The replacement roof must be securely fixed to prevent further unauthorised entry into the building.
 - b. Replace the fire-damaged central lintel/tie beam between the two internal sections on a like-for-like basis, reinstating a timber beam of equivalent dimensions, span and structural function to the original. A structural engineer must confirm the detailing and structural

adequacy of the replacement member and certify that adjoining roof elements are sufficiently supported.

- c. Repair and weatherproof the South-facing section, including the repair or replacement of damaged rafters, purlins and roof sheets on a like-for-like basis. All replacement components must match the dimensions, form and material type of the original. The roof must be restored to a fully waterproof condition to prevent water ingress, plaster loss and stone deterioration.
 - d. Remove all interior debris and stabilise fire-damaged fabric, including the careful removal of burnt equipment and loose material without damaging historic walls. Charred lime plaster and stone surfaces must be gently cleaned and retained wherever possible, with cracked or heat-fractured stones stabilised using appropriate conservation methods. All work must follow a minimum-intervention approach and remain reversible where practicable.
 - e. Secure all openings and access points, installing temporary but robust security measures that do not damage historic stonework, to prevent further vandalism, unauthorised entry, or fire risk during and after the repair works.
 - f. These measures constitute the minimum safeguarding actions required under this Compulsory Repair Order.
4. Once the structure has been stabilised and secured, SANParks must submit a Section 27 Minor Works application to address further conservation needs, including lime plaster repairs, mortar rehabilitation, and the long-term treatment of heat-damaged stone, with input from a structural engineer and an architect with heritage experience.

9.4 Preparation for upcoming Committee meetings

9.4.1 (Special) Council 26 January 2026

9.4.2 BELCom 28 January 2026

9.4.3 HOMS 2 February 2026

9.5 Tribunal Updates (Legal)

9.5.1 None.

9.6 Interim and Close-Out Reports

9.6.1 Erf 149294-Re, Time Ball Tower, 35 Alfred Street, V&A Waterfront (CN)

Case No: HWC24081537SJ1022

- Proposal was for maintenance, repairs and internal alterations to the dilapidated structure. The tower was identified during the CMP process as a high priority site for repair and restoration. SJ noted the exceptional example of scope of works, methodology and specifications in Annexure C of report.
- The close-out report confirms that the approved maintenance and restoration work to the Grade II Time Ball Tower were successfully completed in accordance with the Section 27 permit. The historic Time Ball mechanism was refurbished, reinstalled and fully recommissioned, with associated roof, brickwork, timber, and structural repairs undertaken using conservation-appropriate methods.
- Minor additional works and limited deviations from the approved specification were acceptable from a heritage perspective and support the long-term functioning of the site. The permit conditions have been met, and endorsement of the close-out report is recommended.

ENDORSEMENT

The Committee resolved to endorse the close-out report titled "CLOSE OUT REPORT, Maintenance and Repairs to the Time Ball Tower", dated 23 December 2025, and prepared by Laura Milandri Heritage Consultant in collaboration with Thorold Architects CC, as having met the conditions of the HWC issued permit, dated 12 November 2024.

9.7 Incomplete Applications

9.7.1 Matters Arising: None.

9.7.2 New Matters: 14.8; 14.10; 14.11; 14.13; 14.16; 14.18; 14.20; 14.21; 14.22;14.23; 14.24; 14.26; 14.27; 14.28; 14.31; 14.33; 14.39; 14.43; 14.45; 14.46; 14.47; 14.48; 14.49; 14.51; 14.52; 14.54; 14.55; 14.56; 14.57; 14.59; 14.60; 14.61

9.8 Decisions taken under ASD delegation

9.8.1 Matters Arising: 13.3; 13.6; 13.12; 13.13

9.8.2 New Matters: 14.2; 14.6; 14.9; 14.12; 14.25; 14.30; 14.35; 14.36

9.9 Referrals to Committees

9.9.1 Matters Arising: None.

9.9.2 New Matters: 14.41 (IACom)

9.10 Exemption Notice 9051 of 2025: NCW graded within CoCT

9.9.1 Matter Arising: None.

9.9.2 New Matters: None.

9.11 Archaeological Matters

9.11.1 Erf 15349, Parow (University of Stellenbosch Tygerberg Campus (SB)

Case No: HWC25102803SB1113

Summary of Report:

- archaeological test excavations on erf 24602, adjacent to the historic Hardekraaltjie Cemetery (erf 15349).
- The purpose of the study was to determine whether burials associated with the cemetery extended beyond its known historical boundaries, following concerns raised by the descendant community.
- A previous Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) survey identified several subsurface anomalies that could potentially represent graves. Six anomalies with the highest likelihood of being burials were selected for ground-truthing through controlled archaeological test excavations. These excavations were undertaken over two days in January 2026 and were conducted under permit, with community representatives present as observers to ensure transparency.
- Diagonal test trenches were excavated adjacent to each anomaly to maximise the chance of intersecting any grave shafts. The excavations revealed variable depths of imported fill material across the site, introduced during the creation of sports fields in the late 1960s–early 1970s. Beneath this fill, undisturbed natural dune sands were encountered. In all cases, no evidence of human burials, grave shafts, or disturbed sediments consistent with graves was identified. The anomalies detected by the GPR were attributable to natural features such as clay lenses, nodules, or variations within the fill material.
- The findings indicate that burial activity did not extend beyond the known boundaries of the historic Hardekraaltjie Cemetery. Historical mapping, aerial imagery, and the site’s topography further support this conclusion, showing that the cemetery was deliberately situated on the highest ground in the area, likely to avoid flooding and ensure suitable burial conditions.
- Recommendations and conclusion (page 25): “It is considered highly unlikely that burials occurred outside of the known historical Hardekraaltjie Cemetery, and it is recommended that this portion of erf 24602 should not be considered as potentially being part of the historic cemetery”

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the application to IACom on 18 February 2026 due to the permit relating to IACom permit and matter.

9.11.2 Erf 97631, 41 Newlands Ave, Cape Town (RB)

Case No: HWC25111806RB1119

- HWC received the first of three reports for the disturbed grave in Newlands.
- The report found that the site used to be stables and much of the layering just beneath the backfill layer stems from its time as a stable. Much of the backfill is likely material from that time period.
- A few further bones were found in the spoils. In particular, a mandible was found in the front one and one in the rear spoil that could be associated with the two skulls. These mandibles easily sex the individuals, with the larger one being male and the smaller one being female.
- These mandibles also show ossification, providing evidence that the individuals are likely quite old.
- The report concludes with no further resources on site being identified and work being allowed to continue with the only caveat being deeper earthworks needing to be monitored (which is in the mitigation agreement as well).
- An e-mail decision was taken during the week, in line with the mitigation agreement, to lift the stop works order for work to continue on site.

FOR NOTING

The Committee noted that the following decision was taken via email on 22 January 2026:

COMMENT

The Committee noted the report titled "REPORT ON RESCUE OF HUMAN REMAINS FROM 41 NEWLANDS AVENUE, NEWLANDS, WESTERN CAPE", dated 20 January 2026, and prepared by Jayson Orton of ASHA Consulting, as adhering to condition 4.1.4 of the Mitigation Agreement, dated 18 December 2025.

The Stop Works Order issued on 28 October 2025 is herewith fully lifted and work may continue on site.

Attention is drawn to condition 4.2.1 of the Mitigation Agreement, in that "any further trenching, deeper than the existing foundations, must be monitored on site by the appointed archaeologist".

9.12 Illegal Works Database: Stop Works Orders issued

None.

9.13 Permit deadline: Wednesday, 28 January @ 09.00am

9.14 SAHRIS rollout feedback (RB)

- Training scheduled for 30 January 2026 in office. All heritage officers and administrative staff must be in attendance as this impacts the way of doing business going forward.
- The other SAHRIS processes are happening as envisioned, with proper feedback coming later in February on the status of other parts of this transitional project.

10. Administrative Matters

None.

11. Monitoring by practitioner

None.

12. Discussion of the Agenda

12.1. (Item 14.44) Erf 3560, 57 Church Street, Cape Town City Centre, S34 - Minor Works (SVB)

Case No: HWC25112109SVB0107 / 26973SVB0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388137>

12.2. (Item 14.37) Erf R/13601, La Belle Road, Stikland, S38(8) - NID
Case No: 27044SB0114 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388292>

APPROVED

MATTERS DISCUSSED

13. MATTERS ARISING

13.1 Various Erven, Diesel Road, Maitland, S38(4) - NID

Case No: 26764CN1121 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/387455>

Ms Corne Alexander introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information was for a Government Resolution which was uploaded on required 20 Jan
- Trigger(s): S38(1)(c)(i) and (ii)
- Decision making authority: HWC
- Property: Development footprint is approx. 1.4ha in extent, with a current land use of vacant The surrounding land uses are Industrial to the north, west and south, Residential to the east.
- Proposal: For the consolidation of five properties to allow development of a vaccine production facility.
- Heritage resources:
- No heritage resources listed by the applicant.
- Archaeo-sensitivity: The closest study on SAHRIS was undertaken at Oude Molen and the material relate to the buildings and not resources that are in the ground. Impact: None
- Palaeo-sensitivity: Blue - no palaeontological studies are required however a protocol for finds is required
- Consultant recommendation: NFS
- Heritage Considerations: The development is situated in an industrial area surrounded by NCW buildings. No impact to heritage resources, nor the resources in Pinelands across the railway line.

DECISION

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon.

CN

13.2 Erf 3663, 7 Central Avenue, Pinelands, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: HWC25120101EJV0507

Emily Jane Vowles introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding Information received on 29 November, 1 and 3 December 2025 and 19 January 2026.
- Graded IIIC
- Inside Pinelands HPO
- Work has not started
- The proposal is for internal alterations, a bedroom extension, a covered stoep to the rear and enclosure of the existing stoep on the street façade.
- CoCT support; PRRA support in principle but note that “the following is to be addressed, and re-submitted for stamping:
 - The gable extension looks unresolved (might need a parapet band?)
 - Please consider the detail indicated below to allow closing off of the ‘stoep’ without the loss of architectural detail.”
- In response to the PRRA comment, the applicant notes that they were commenting on a previous revision of the plans and these issues have since been addressed. The Case Officer then requested that the applicant provide the revised plan set to the PRRA for revised comment which they did so on the 4th of December and again on the 13th of January. The PRRA acknowledged receipt on both occasions, but no revised comment was forthcoming. The PRRA then confirmed via email on the 20th of January that they would not be providing further response.
- All parties were invited to HOMs today

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

EJV

13.3 Erf 42323, 22b Victoria Road, Oakdale, Bellville, S34 - Total Demolition

Case No: HWC25091709RB0922

CASE SUMMARY

- OI received 16 January 2026.
- Property is a consolidation of two properties (erf 42323 and 42322).
- A portion of erf 42322 is graded NCW but erf 42323 is not graded.
- Outside of any HPO.
- Work has not started.
- Proposal is to demolish everything on site (erf 42322 - two carports, erf 42322 [NCW portion of it] is the service station and shop, not graded portion is a business premises) and to build a refreshed service station and ancillary shops with parking.
- CoCT E&HM support via e-mail.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the total demolition as there is insufficient heritage significance to warrant retention.

RB

13.4 Erf 1197, Noordwal, Helderberg Street, Stellenbosch, S34 - Alterations

Case No: HWC25080507SB0806

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

Malene Campbell and Denny Jason were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Background: previous case (20040702KB0420E) that was at BELCom, committee noted that there was unauthorized work and there was condition that the attic/second floor be pursued. But the permit was not revoked. Previously the two-storey additions to the rear were approved.
- Proposal: "Rezoning from Conventional Residential Zone to Mix Use Zone. Permanent departure to the building line. Amendment of the approval conditions to facilitate the use of main dwellings for a 3-bedroom guest house in lieu of the current 9-bedroom guest house." The proposal includes now a new/amended additional third storey (2nd floor/attic).
- Work start: According to the form – Yes
- Grade: IIIC
- HPO: inside
- Comments: SIG (6 August 2025) notes that the proposal is same as BELCom decision dated 2 February 2021. They further stressed that third storey on site should not be permitted. Email was sent to Stellenbosch heritage on the 1 July 2025.
- Additional information received on 13 October, Stellenbosch municipality noted that they cannot approve the unauthorized work and that no further action will be taken for the attic/second floor indicated on drawing no. SD-3422-M202, SD-3422-M101 and SD-3422-M301 dated 2 February 2021 (PITCHED-ROOF OPTION). Furthermore, the previous permit dated 26th November 2018 will not be revoked. The revised SDP was support but the 3rd story was refused.
- Departure was not approved as did not met all the conditions (use for medical consulting room), removal of restrictive title deed condition – structure on site can be utilized as guesthouse was approved.
- Additional information was received on the 4 December 2025, the comments from Stellenbosch Municipality, they note that the new plans differ from the approved plans and do not support.

- The committee compared the approved plans from 2021 to the 2025 and noted that minor changes internally and would not impact negatively the resource.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

13.5 Erf 2981-RE, 59 Kruin Street, Reservoir Street, Brackenfell, S34 - Total Demolition

Case No: HWC25100105SB1002

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Proof that structural engineers report was circulated.

SB

13.6 Erf 3672, 8 Klein Reservoir Street, Paarl, S34 - Minor Works

Case No: HWC25110402SB1107

CASE SUMMARY

- Proposal: New covered braai, carpool structure and new swimming pool
- Work start: According to the form – no
- Grade: Not graded
- HPO: outside
- Comments: Drakenstein municipality no objection, Paarl 300 support,
- Additional information received 21 January 2026, DHF could not provide a formal comment.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

13.7 Erf 449, 64 Church Street, Worcester, S34 - Minor Work

Case No: HWC25061906SB1029

HELD OVER

The applicant has requested that the item be held over as they are investigating a different glass option.

SB

13.8 Portion 45 of Farm 159 Meerendal Farm, Durbanville, S38(4) - HIA

Case No: HWC23112104SB1124

HELD OVER

The application has been held over to allow for the revised HIA to first be circulated for consultation.

SB

13.9 Erf 2875, 162 Buitengracht Street, Bo-Kaap, S34 - Alterations

Case No: HWC25082007SVB0825

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 21 November, 03 December and 10 December 2025.
- The property is graded IIIC and within the Bo-Kaap HPOZ.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for a new plastering detail on the parapet wall, to restores the doors and windows on the front facade back to their "original size" and to extend the balustrade slightly along with new entry steps. The remaining alterations are for replacement of the roof and various internal alterations.

- CoCT support the proposal.
- Bo-Kaap Tourism Association did not respond.
- Bo-Kaap Youth Movement email bounced back.
- Bo-Kaap Civic Association acknowledged receipt however they did not submit a comment.
- SAHRA do not object to the proposal.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

13.10 Erf 65375-RE, 25 Gibson Road, Kenilworth, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: HWC25080720SVB0922

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 12 November 2025.
- This application was then tabled at HOMs on 24 November 2025 whereby the committee requested the following further requirements:
- 1. The building plans must be revised to accurately reflect the as-built structures as per the CoCT's comment.
- The property is graded IIIB and within the Kenilworth PHA proposed HPOZ.
- Work is complete.
- The completed work is construction of three internal walls on the ground floor of the main dwelling as well as a new covered courtyard and wall on the southern side; changes to windows on the first storey northern facade and various new internal wall of the main dwelling; an extension to the eastern side of the standalone activity room which is not older than 60 years; and construction of a new free-standing administrative building along the western Gibson Road boundary, which does not touch any of the existing heritage structures.
- LKID did not respond to the request for comment.
- CoCT do not support the proposal for the following reasons:
 - Due to the levels of significance of the resource and context within the proposed HPOZ, HRS believes that the work carried out, as well as that shown on the plans, is not sensitive to the associated levels of significance of the resource.
 - The plans provided are not accurate – e.g. roof pitch and roof design is incorrectly shown.
 - HRS recommends that the plans be redrawn so as to be accurate and that a suitably experienced Heritage Practitioner be appointed to report on the current condition of the resource, establish what work is unauthorised and provide heritage indicators/guidance for any proposed work.
- All I&APs have been invited to the meeting of 26 January 2026.
- In response to objections received, the applicant has provided a plan clarifying all previous approvals in response to the CoCT's comment regarding inaccurate representation of unauthorized work. The heritage consultant has investigated the evolution of the site and maintains that the main dwelling and what is now the consulting and therapy room are the only buildings that are older than 60 years. They also note that the main house has undergone notable alterations over time, which include window replacement, enclosure of a balcony, substantial replacement of the thatched roof and raising of the roof to include attic rooms and associated dormers and an addition to the northern elevation. The consultant notes that majority of the current proposed internal and window alterations to be regularized, are confined to the 2000s addition. In addition, the covered courtyard also to be regularized, is minor in scale and positioned along Ascot Street, which is the historically less significant facade of the building. The heritage consultant is of the opinion that the work to be regularised does not negatively impact the heritage resource.
- In accordance with the FR requested by HOMs and in response to the CoCT's comments regarding inaccuracies in the plans, the applicant has submitted revised plans that accurately reflect the as-built structure.

COMMENT

HWC does not condone unauthorised work. The committee resolved to issue a Section 51 letter, as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

For the above formal response to be issued, the applicant must first provide a proof of payment with the correct reference number indicating the correct amount having been paid as per the Provincial Notice 73/2025 in the Provincial Gazette Extraordinary No. 9088, dated 18 June 2025.

SVB

13.11 Farm 1119-2-RE, La Dauphine, Excelsior Road, Franschhoek, S34 - Deviation

Case No: HWC25110808SVB1106

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

Nicolene Visser was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- This application was tabled at HOMs on 17 November 2025. The committee resolved to request the following further requirements which were submitted by the applicant on 13 January 2026:
 1. The applicant must undertake a 30-day consultation period with I&APs inclusive of the Municipality and all registered conservation bodies and the results thereof must be submitted to HWC.
- The property is graded IIIA (Stellenbosch Municipality Heritage Survey)
- Along the Excelsior Street Grade II Scenic Route and within the Grade II Franschhoek Historic Area (Stellenbosch Municipality Heritage Survey)
- The deviations for building A includes reconfiguration of the previously approved chimney of building A; the deviations for building B include variations of the previously approved doors and windows as well as a new fireplace with chimney, a new atrium; a different internal layout of the kitchen portion of the building; and a combination of thatch and concrete roofs in place of the previously approved corrugate roofs. The deviations also include the addition of an atrium off the circulation corridor of building B.
- A previous permit dated 24 January 2025 was issued for alterations to the property, with no conditions attached (HWC24121107XM0113). The application before us today is for deviations from this permit.
- Stellenbosch Municipality, SIG and SHF and FHRRRA were consulted in accordance with the further requirements requested by HOMs.
- Stellenbosch Municipality note that the changes are minor and do not deviate from what was approved by HWC.
- SIG did not respond.
- SHF did not respond.
- FHRRRA did not respond.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

13.12 Erf 7655, 18 Fairtrees Road, Durbanville, S34 - Minor Works

Case No: HWC25110706XM1118

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received on 20 January 2026
- The proposal is for minor alterations and additions to the existing cottage to make improvements to the property. The changes include refurbishment to the bathroom, new internal doors, new roof window and living room and pergola to garden and entrance.
- Work has not started.

- Graded IIIC.
- Outside HPO.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

13.13 Erf 44795, 4 Roseland Road, Rondebosch, S34 - Additions & Alterations **Case No: HWC24093013XM1106**

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received on 14 January 2026.
- The proposal is for additions and alterations including
- Rationalisation of the internal layout to enhance liveability.
- Construction of a small rear addition to accommodate modern family requirements.
- Repair and restoration of existing walls, roof elements, and façade features.
- Replacement of deteriorated windows and doors with compatible materials and proportions.
- Repainting in a neutral colour palette that complements surrounding dwellings.
- Work has not started.
- Graded IIIC.
- Outside HPO.
- CoCT supports.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

14. NEW MATTERS

14.1 Erf 13777, 21 Queens Park Avenue, Salt River, S34 - Additions & Alterations **Case No: 26947CH0105 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388105>**

HELD OVER

The application is held over to the Heritage Officers' Meeting (HOMs) on 2 February 2026 for all I&APs to be invited to the meeting.

CH

14.2 Erf 177435, 12 Torquay Avenue, Claremont, S34 - Minor Works **Case No: 25957CH0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388116>**

CASE SUMMARY

- Not graded outside HPO
- Proposal is to replace the existing asbestos slates with fibre cement slate.
- Work has not started.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

14.3 Erf 183840, 8 Darling Street, Cape Town, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26944CH0105 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388101>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

Prof. Walter Peters was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Graded IIIA inside HPO
- Proposal is to replace the existing 1926 rooftop addition with a new two storey rooftop addition. The 7th storey rooftop wing will sit parallel to Parliament street and the entire addition will be clad in Rheinzink. The existing shafts will be raised. The setback along Darling Street will be as existing and the setback along Parliament Street was determined structurally by the beam below. Various conservation principles/design indicators have been applied which include the recessed placement from the street facades, distinction between new and old and incorporating design cues from the historic building yet being subservient to the old.
- Work has not started.
- Previous applications:
 - (1) S34 MW permit issued on 22 August 2023 (HWC230810020815).
 - (2) S34 permit issued on 1 July 2025 for A&A (HWC25070804SVB0623).
- CoCT supports on condition that further consideration be given for a deeper setback along Darling Street facade to mitigate the visual impact of the new height, form and material of the addition on the existing structure. The CoCT comment includes an extract of the suggested setback (in line with the raised stone towers).
- CIBRA supports.
- ClfA stated that the interventions are positive and however will not be commenting on the application.
- The applicant provided a response to the CoCT comment stating that the the setback on Darling Street is exactly 100 years old i.e., historical and S34 protected. The setback as proposed coincides structurally with the recessed wall of the loggia on the 5th floor. There is no structural opportunity for further recessing the two floors to the back of the loggia. The proposed elevation treatment of Darling Street was informed by architect Fred Glennie's design of 1952 that was never executed with wall dormer windows above vertical windows and asymmetrical roof. Visually the addition should be significantly reduced given the steeper incline on Darling Street. Visual concerns regarding the use of Rheinzink cladding of natural patina should be mitigated as soon as weathering begins.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

14.4 Erf 248, 12 Daneel Street, Stanford, S31 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26946CH0105 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388103>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Not graded inside the declared Stanford Heritage Area.
- Proposal is for a freestanding second dwelling and garage.
- Work has not started.
- OHAC supports.
- SHC supports.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

14.5 Erf 451, 5 Woodside Road, Tamboerskloof, S34 - Minor Works

Case No: 26942CH0105 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388098>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Graded IIIB inside HPO
- Proposal is for internal alterations to the kitchen and to install a new French door to the southeast of the building.
- Work has not started.
- CIBRA supports.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

14.6 Erf 604, 7 Clifford Road, Sea Point, S34 - Total Demolition

Case No: 26955CH0106 / HWC25102714CH0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388114>

CASE SUMMARY

- Not graded outside HPO
- Proposal is to demolish the existing structure on site.
- Work has not started.
- Previous TD permit was issued on 13 June 2017 (17042002HB0503E)
- CoCT did not comment within 30 days.
- SFB did not comment within 30 days.
- SFA did not provide a comment as the building is graded not conservation worthy.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the total demolition as there is insufficient heritage significance to warrant retention.

CH

14.7 Erf 68726, 45 Durban Road, Wynberg, S27 – Minor Works

Case No: 26940CH0105 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388093>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Grade II inside HPO.
- Proposal is for the addition of steel pergolas at the driveway and courtyard. The existing windows and doors on the south east and north east have been replaced with aluminum.
- Work applied for (i.e., the steel pergolas) has not started. To note, the aluminum windows and doors were noted as existing in the photographs and plans of the 2025 application. The windows and doors were not coloured up in the 2025 application however they are now in the current submission.
- Previous permit was issued on 31 January 2025 (HWC25011402EJV0122) for internal alterations and a new steel gate on the street facing facade.
- CoCT supports.
- WRRRA did not comment within 30 days.
- The Committee deemed the proposed work as minor in nature.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

- 14.8 Erf 7870-RE, 133 Sir Lowry Road, Foreshore, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 26950CH0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388108>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

CoCT comment and internal photographs required.

CH

- 14.9 Erf 2772, 143 Fourth Street, Hermanus, S34 - Deviation**
Case No: 27003CN0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388218>

CASE SUMMARY

- Grading: Not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Completed
- Previous Applications: HWC24091905CH1113 - internal alterations and the addition of a second storey. Permit issued 13 Dec 2024
- Proposal: The proposed additions and alterations were previously approved by Heritage Western Cape. However, during construction a few minor additions and alterations occurred. Thus, the application is for minor deviations to the previously approved plan
- Heritage Considerations: The deviations are deemed substantially in accordance with the previous approval. The majority of the work was completed under the previous approval, only the deviations are unauthorised.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8.

The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to issue a S51 letter as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

CN

- 14.10 Erf 31641, 9 Vredenburg Circus, Rosebank, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 26986CN0108 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388176>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Updated external photos, Streetscape photos and CoCT comments required.

CN

- 14.11 Erf 364, 17 Main Road, Gansbaai, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 26977CN0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388143>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Outstanding R4000 PoP, SG diagram, Company Resolution, Annotated plan indicating work started/been completed, Streetscape photos and OHAC comments required.

CN

- 14.12 Erf 3652-RE, 12 Weltevreden Street, Swellendam, S34 - Minor Works**
Case No: 26975CN0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388140>

CASE SUMMARY

- Grading: Not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: To the house - internal alterations that include Demolition of internal walls, new drywall and new bathroom, closing up of internal doors with drywall. To the separate garage: Conversion of existing double garage into a new maids room, and single garage.
- Consultation:
- Swellendam Aesthetics Advisory Committee did not provide comment in 30 days
- Swellendam Heritage Association has no objection

- Heritage Considerations: The dwelling retains its original form, proportions, and key architectural features, and later additions have not compromised its integrity. Although not formally graded, it contributes positively to the Swellendam townscape. The proposed works will have no impact on the heritage significance or character of the building or its setting.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

14.13 Erf 497, 19 Cavalcade Road, Green Point, S27 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26961CN0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388120>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Response to objections raised required.

CN

14.14 Erf 51775, 62 Garfield Road, Claremont, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26945CN0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388102>

Ms Corne Alexander introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Grading: Not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Started, but not complete
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: For internal changes that include changes to doors and windows, a garage, carport and a patio.
- Consultation:
- CoCT grades the property as NCW and support the application
- Heritage Considerations: The proposals will not impact on the limited heritage significance of the building.

COMMENT

HWC does not condone unauthorised work. The committee resolved to issue a Section 51 letter, as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

For the above formal response to be issued, the applicant must first provide a proof of payment with the correct reference number indicating the correct amount having been paid as per the Provincial Notice 73/2025 in the Provincial Gazette Extraordinary No. 9088, dated 18 June 2025.

CN

14.15 Erf 693, 70 Forest Drive Service Road, Pinelands, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26954CN0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388112>

Ms Corne Alexander introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Grading: IIIC
- HPO: Inside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: Internal changes that include changes to doors, a new garage to the front of the property and a new entertainment area to the rear.
- Consultation:
- CoCT has no objection
- PRRA stamped the plans with no objection

- Heritage Considerations: No impact on the significance of a heritage resource or its context.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

14.16 Erf 9806-RE, 151 Waterkant Street, De Waterkant, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26960CN0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388119>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Correctly coloured up plan, CoCT and DWCA comment required.

CN

14.17 Erven 825, 1123, 1128, 1133, 1134 and 142, Dorp Street - Transvalia Complex, Stellenbosch, S27 - Minor Works

Case No: 26953CN0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388111>

Ms Corne Alexander introduced the item.

Lize Malan was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Grading: II
- HPO: Inside Stellenbosch Historic Core
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: For maintenance and repairs to the facade and roof of the building which includes repainting the facade, making good of the woodwork, windows and doors, replacing and repairing the cast iron trims and replacing the roof sheets like for like.
- Heritage Considerations: The proposed works will conserve original fabric, stop deterioration, and support the long-term preservation of the building. As such, the proposal will have a positive impact and will not negatively impact the significance of the heritage resource nor the streetscape significance.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application, as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources. Approval is given on condition that:

1. A close-out report, compiled by Mr Graham Jacobs, must be submitted to HWC within 30 days of practical completion.

CN

14.18 Erf 1227-RE, Molteno Road, Pinewood House, Oranjezicht, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26936CSI0105 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388086>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Final set of plans required.

CSI

14.19 Erf 1227-RE, Radnor House, Oranjezicht, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26937CSI0105 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388087>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Work applied for has not started
- Graded IIIB

- Inside HPO
- Proposed work is for the refurbishment of the structure as part of the larger Molteno project including internal reconfiguration and new timber and steel pergola to rear entrance/ garden courtyard
- CoCT provided comment and support the application stating that all new work is supported as it in keeping to the elements and features associated with the era of the house. The current proposal will enhance the significance of the building, as well as its contribution to the Molteno road streetscape and its broader heritage environment.
- CIBRA provided comment and support the application.
- Molteno Reservoir Heritage Association provided comment and support the refurbishment of Radnor House.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CSI

- 14.20 Erf 267, 146 Main Road, Simon's Town, S34 - Minor Works**
Case No: 27001CSI0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388216>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Comment from CoCT, streetscape and external photos

CSI

- 14.21 Erf 424, 31 Upper Orange Street, Oranjezicht, S34 - Minor Works**
Case No: 26972CSI0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388136>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Confirmation of status of work, CoCT and CIBRA comments.

CSI

- 14.22 Erf 58244, 46 Harfield Road, Claremont, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 26990CSI0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388190>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

CoCT comment required.

CSI

- 14.23 Erf 63697, 17 Valley Road, Kenilworth, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 26991CSI0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388195>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Coloured up elevations required.

CSI

- 14.24 Farm 211, Botfontein Farm, Stellenbosch, S38(8) - NID**
Case No: 27042CSI0114 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388287>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Site photographs required.

CSI

14.25 Erf 1490, 7 Parkchester Avenue, Pinelands, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27018EJV0113 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388247>

CASE SUMMARY

- Not Graded
- Outside HPO
- Work has not started
- The proposal is for the extension of the dwelling to accommodate a new laundry, carport, verandah, and an additional storey over the second dwelling
- CoCT support

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

EJV

14.26 Erf 149294-RE, South Arm Road, V&A Waterfront, Foreshore, S38(1) - NID

Case No: 27031EJV0114 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388268>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Title Deed, Streetscape photographs required.

EJV

14.27 Erf 149294-RE, Dock Road, V&A Waterfront, Foreshore, S38(1) - NID

Case No: 27017EJV0113 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388246>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Title Deed, Streetscape photographs required.

EJV

14.28 Erf 16190, Dyden Street Primary School, 84 Fenton Street, Salt River, S38(1) - NID

Case No: 27078EJV0116 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388355>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

PoA required

EJV

14.29 Erf 175, 43 Longmarket Street, Stanford, S31 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: HWC25111914EJV0113 / 27023EJV0113 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388256>

Emily Jane Vowles introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Graded IIIC
- Inside HPO and the Stanford Heritage Area
- Work has not started
- The proposal is to restore the historic house and ancillary cottage with internal and external alterations inclusive of fenestration
- SHC support; OHAC support

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

EJV

14.30 Erf 373, 20 Houghton Road, Bakoven, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26938EJV0105 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388091>

CASE SUMMARY

- Graded IIIC/NCW
- Outside HPO
- Work has not started
- The proposal is for internal and external alterations, an extension to the dwelling footprint, and fenestration changes
- The CBCRA were contacted and did not respond in 30 days; CoCT support

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

EJV

14.31 Erf 46216, 4 Mount Road, Rondebosch, S34 - Minor Works

Case No: 27020EJV0113 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388251>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Confirmation as to status of work required.

EJV

14.32 Erf 571, 10 King Street, Stanford, S31 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: HWC25111913EJV0113 / 27022EJV0113 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388255>

Emily Jane Vowles introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Not Graded
- Inside HPO and the Stanford Heritage Area
- Work has not started
- The proposal is to replace the stoep windows with French doors, reuse the existing windows in a new position, and for minor internal alterations
- SHC support and recommend that the new windows and doors be painted to match the existing; OHAC support

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

EJV

14.33 Remainder Erf 112629, Gunner Circle, Epping, S38(1) - NID

Case No: 27059SB0116 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388319>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Contextual photographs of the site and the surroundings required.

SB

14.34 Erf 1426, Iris Street, Velddrif, S38(8) - NID

Case No: 27005SB0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388220>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delpont introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: upgrade of the Velddrif Wastewater Treatment Works (WWTW) and associated infrastructure.
- Grade: not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Heritage resource: No heritage resource was identified on site.
- Archaeology: low archaeological, impact unlikely.
- Palaeontological: Low sensitive area, given the nature of the proposal, unlikely to have an impact.
- Visual/Cultural: None as existing WWTW and given the nature of the proposal, impact unlikely.
- Consultants recommend: NFS

FINAL COMMENT

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon.

The HWC Chance Finds Procedure and Accidental Finds protocol must be included in any form of EMPr and/or potential Environmental Authorisation.

SB

14.35 Erf 1635, Unit 23 Ben Roma, 17 Antwerp Road, Sea Point, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27015SB0113 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388244>

CASE SUMMARY

- Proposal: Internal renovations: reconfiguring the on-suite bathroom in the Master Bedroom (to allow for better natural light) and re-instating the original balcony terrace that the previous owner enclosed. Upgrading existing kitchen, bedrooms and bathrooms.
- Work start: No
- Grade: 3C
- HPO: outside
- Comments: CoCT supports, Sea Point for All and SFB Rate Payers & Residence Association did not comment with 30 days. Body Corporate Ben Roma consented to the proposal.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

14.36 Erf 264 & RE/248, No. 3 Goosen Road, Prince Alfred Hamlet, S34 - Minor works, Maintenance

Case No: 27016SB0113 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388245>

CASE SUMMARY

- Proposal: Maintenance and Repair as per the Structural Engineer's Report for rehabilitation, restoration, and stabilisation of building. The report proposes remedial action for the walls, roofs and floors.
- The heritage building was possibly built between 1910-30 and the out building 1960.(barn and residential). Significant structural defects noted in barns, including bulging walls and roof deflection. The residence shows signs of rising damp and compromised timber floors.
- Proposed Remedial and Rehabilitation Works: Barns require stabilization of walls and roofs, including buttressing and mechanical ties. Residence floors need treatment for rising damp and

potential restoration of timber floors. Lean-to is slated for demolition, while stoep requires slab repairs.

- Work start: No
- Grade: not graded, suggested 3C
- HPO: outside
- Historical significance is linked to Johannes Cornelis Goosen, who played a key role in the town's development and naming.
- Comments: Witzenberg Municipality viewing it as minor works with no further comments.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

14.37 Erf Re/13601, La Belle Road, Stikland, S38(8) - NID

Case No: 27044SB0114 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388292>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

Katie Smuts was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: proposed extension of the existing Stikland Cemetery. Given the high-water table and unsuitable soils, traditional in-ground burials are largely restricted. The proposed development therefore focuses on alternative burial options and memorial spaces, with limited in-ground burials only in the north-western corner. Which will include Structured Memorial Spaces, Central Memorial Park and Gardens of Remembrance, Ceremonial and Gathering Spaces, Sustainable Urban Drainage System and Service and Administration Areas.
- Grade: not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Heritage resource: Across La Belle Roads, to the northwest is graded 3A resource, mid-20th century era. Originally part of the Belle Alliance Outspan. Cemetery established in 1947.
- No heritage resource was identified on the new section.
- Archaeology: According to the screener tool, the immediate site, low archaeological and cultural significance, however close proximity to very high area. From the site and contextual photographs and the high-water table and unsuitable soils, traditional in-ground burials, unlikely archaeological or burial will be present. Impact unlikely.
- Palaeontological: Low sensitive area, given the nature of the proposal, unlikely to have an impact. This is confirmed by the screener tools.
- Visual/Cultural: None according to the screener tool and given the nature of the proposal.
- Consultants recommend: NFS
- The Committee noted that HWC issued an NFS for this project in 2024, but with the substantial change in SDP, a new NID is

FINAL COMMENT

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon. The HWC Chance Finds Procedure and Accidental Finds protocol must be included in any form of EMP and/or potential Environmental Authorisation.

SB

14.38 IsiLimela Solar Energy Facility Various Farms, Melkbosstrand, S38(8) - NID

Case No: 27056SB0115 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388315>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delpont introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: The proposed IsiLimela Solar Energy Facility Cluster with Associated Infrastructure project which will include solar photovoltaic panels, substations, internal roads, and supporting infrastructure.
- Trigger:
- Section 38(1)(a) and 38(1)(c)(i)– large-scale linear infrastructure and development exceeding 5 000 m² in extent.
- Other: NEMA – DFFE as the decision-making authority.
- Grade: Not graded, however, the broader area forms part of the Koeberg Farms Cultural Landscape, with adjacent and nearby heritage resources graded II–III (including Grade IIIB and IIIC resources).
- HPO: Outside
- Heritage resource: the subject site is within Cultural landscape of high heritage sensitivity, including historic farm werfs, historic transport routes (Old Mamre Road/Old Cape Road), tree alignments, outspans (e.g. Baas Areisfontein Outspan), and proximity to declared and protected heritage areas associated with the Battle of Blaauwberg(PHS).
- Archaeology: Moderate to high archaeological sensitivity, likely presence of Early, Middle and Later Stone Age artefacts (ESA, MSA, LSA), historical-period archaeological material, and potential Khoekhoe-related heritage. Archaeological resources are known in the broader area, although the development falls outside the Blaauwberg Conservation Area, there is a potential impact.
- Palaeontological: Low palaeontological sensitivity. The site is underlain by Quaternary sands with a low likelihood of significant fossil material.
- Visual/Cultural: High visual and cultural landscape sensitivity. The proposed development is located within the Koeberg Farms Cultural Landscape and may result in cumulative impacts on sense of place, historic rural character, and views associated with significant heritage resources and routes.
- Consultant recommends: HIA – AIA and CLA

INTERIM COMMENT

The Committee resolved to require a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) which complies with S38 (3) of the NHRA, and which includes the following:

1. Archaeological Impact Assessment
2. Cultural Landscape Assessment
3. Visual Impact Assessment

SB

14.39 Portion 17 of Erf 221, Botfontein Road, Stellenbosch, S38(8) - NID

Case No: 27050SB0115 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388301>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Contextual photographs of the site and the surroundings required.

SB

14.40 Farm Portions 4/399; 5/399; 13/406; 1/407; 69/406; RE 55/406 and RE 56/406, Slanghoek, Worcester, S38(8) - NID

Case No: 27049SB0115 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388298>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delpont introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: expanding the agricultural activities on Farm, which currently contains indigenous vegetation.
- Trigger: (i) exceeding 5 000m² in extent; (ii) involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions thereof;
- Other: NEMA
- Grade:
- HPO: Outside
- Heritage resource: The 1942 aerial images show the Slanghoek Road placed further west, with minimal agriculture near the old road. By 1972, the road was realigned, and agriculture had expanded. Few structures were visible in 1942, with more appearing by 1972. A Cape Dutch farmstead from around 1850 is noted but wasn't seen during fieldwork.
- Building: Some structures greater than 60 years of age occur in the area but none fall within the areas proposed or cultivation. No impacts expected. Two modern ruined brick and cement features related to irrigation occur in the easternmost study area. These are of no significance.
- Archaeology: low archaeological sensitivity as a few isolated artefacts were noted from field observation. No significant impacts expected.
- A farm graveyard with graves ranging in age between 1922 and 2022 lies on the farm but will not be impacted.
- Palaeontological: Low sensitive area, but one section of unknown sensitivity. The field survey found the site to be comprised of alluvial gravels and provide minimal academic value. Furthermore, planting of vines would have almost zero impact on any fossil content. No significant impacts expected.
- Visual/Cultural: The Cape Fold Belt Mountains and Slanghoek Valley are highly scenic. Given the nature of the proposal, no impacts are expected.
- Consultants recommend: NFS

FINAL COMMENT

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon.

The HWC Chance Finds Procedure and Accidental Finds protocol must be included in any form of EMPr and/or potential Environmental Authorisation.

SB

14.41 Portion 2 of Matjeskuil 733, and Portion 2 of Hercules Pilaar 1242, Off the R304, east of Durbanville, S38(8) - HIA

Case No: 25130SB0512 / <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/379845>

CASE SUMMARY

- Proposed: development of the Edison Solar PV Energy Facility and associated
- Proposed: The proposed Edison Photovoltaic (PV) Facility, including solar PV arrays, inverter stations, internal access roads, fencing, laydown areas, a substation, grid connection infrastructure and associated ancillary infrastructure.
- Zone: Agricultural / Rural zoning, currently used for agricultural purposes.
- Location: On agricultural land within the Koeberg Farms area, north of Cape Town, within the City of Cape Town Metropolitan.
- Current use: Active agricultural land (cultivation/grazing), with associated rural infrastructure and farm landscapes.

- Grade: No formal grading assigned to the development footprint. The broader Koeberg Farms Cultural Landscape is considered to be of Grade III heritage significance, with nearby Grade IIIA–IIIC resources.
- HPO: Outside
- Constraints: None noted in the report.
- Anticipated Impacts on Heritage Resources
- Archaeology:
 - Moderate potential impact. The area is archaeologically sensitive with a likelihood of Stone Age (ESA, MSA, LSA) material and historical-period archaeological remains. Ground disturbance may result in the destruction of subsurface archaeological resources if not mitigated.
- Palaeontological: Low impact anticipated. The underlying geology consists mainly of Quaternary sands with low fossil potential.
- Visual: Moderate to high visual impact. The PV facility will alter the rural and agricultural character of the Koeberg Farms Cultural Landscape, with potential cumulative visual impacts when viewed in conjunction with existing and approved energy infrastructure.
- Built: Low impact. No built heritage resources are located within the development footprint. Nearby farmsteads and historic structures will not be directly impacted.
- Cultural: Moderate impact on cultural landscape values, including sense of place, historic agricultural land use patterns and the wider historic Koeberg Farms landscape.
- Alternatives: Layout and micro-siting alternatives were considered to avoid known heritage resources and to limit visual and archaeological impacts. No location alternatives outside the broader study area were assessed in detail.
- Consultant recommend: That the project may proceed provided that mitigation measures are implemented, including archaeological monitoring, a chance finds protocol, and sensitivity-informed micro-siting to reduce impacts on heritage resources and the cultural landscape.
- PPP: Public Participation Process undertaken as part of the NEMA Environmental Authorisation process. Heritage-related issues raised were limited and are addressed through the recommended mitigation measures.
- Public consultation took place 29 October 2025 until 1 December 2025. CoCT support the project. Aikonese Cochoqua Khoi Tribal Council attended the Key Stakeholders Workshop and raised issues verbally (see below), no formal written comment was received. DHF supported the area for screening but had considered about the agricultural viability of the land and impact on the CL – The consultant responded by providing a number of key specialist studies confirming the low agricultural potential of the site for listed environmental and social reasons. This potential agricultural land use and component of the cultural landscape is unviable at present. A PVSEF facility can be dismantled at the end of the project viability, hence non-permanent
- Recommendations Summarised:
 - Implement Archaeological Monitoring during initial ground-disturbing activities and approved workplan should be in place if mitigation is necessary. Implement visual mitigation measures where feasible that include modest signage, low-contrast colours for buildings and screening were necessary.
- Full list of recommendation are on page 79 of the HIA.

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the application to IACom on 18 February 2026 due to the objections received and expertise required.

14.42 Erf 17201, 13 High Street, Paarl, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26996SVB0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388209>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The property is graded IIIA (as per the Drakenstein Heritage Survey Report 2013) and is within the Paarl Special Character Protected Overlay Zone
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for the addition of a new annex to the existing house on the property, new teachers' accommodation (3 units) and a proposed new garage and its driveway.
- Paarl 300 support the proposal
- DHF supports the proposal in principle, however the proposed roof form and new fenestration of the extension/building do not complement the existing heritage resource and are of the opinion that a flat roof would be more appropriate.
- Drakenstein Municipality do not object to the proposal.
- On 10 September 2024 HWC issued a permit for partial demolition of a flat roofed portion of the building (HWC24082015RB0826).

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

For the above formal response to be issued, the applicant must first provide a proof of payment with the correct reference number indicating the correct amount having been paid as per the Provincial Notice 73/2025 in the Provincial Gazette Extraordinary No. 9088, dated 18 June 2025.

SVB

14.43 Erf 3464, Buitenkant Street, Darling, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26971SVB0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388135>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

POA, Clear locality plan, internal, external and street images and POP required.

SVB

14.44 Erf 3560, 57 Church Street, Cape Town City Centre, S34 - Minor Works

Case No: HWC25112109SVB0107 / 26973SVB0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388137>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The property has various gradings, IIIA, IIIB, IIIC, NCW and a small portion is grade II and is within the Central City HPOZ.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for servicing, repairing and replacing a variety of windows and a few doors in existing openings at the Glaston House complex. The proposal includes replacement of timber windows with aluminium in the internal courtyard of the 1980s addition. The remaining windows will be repaired, maintained or replaced like-for-like.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application, as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources. Approval is given on condition that:

1. A close-out report, compiled by a suitable qualified heritage consultant, must be submitted to HWC within 30 days of practical completion.

For the above formal response to be issued, the applicant must first provide a proof of payment with the correct reference number indicating the correct amount having been paid as per the Provincial Notice 73/2025 in the Provincial Gazette Extraordinary No. 9088, dated 18 June 2025.

14.45 Erf 3648, 41 De Villiers Street, Strand, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27006SVB0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388221>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

POA, locality plan, internal/external, streetscape images, local authority comments and registered conservation body comments required.

SVB

14.46 Erf 686987, 63 York Road, Wynberg, S34 - Total Demolition

Case No: 27009SVB0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388224>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Correct POP required.

SVB

14.47 Erf 68698, 59 York Road, Wynberg, S34 - Total Demolition

Case No: 27010SVB0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388226>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Local authority comment required.

SVB

14.48 Erf 848, 27 Avenue Disandt, Fresnaye, S34 - Alterations & Additions

Case No: 27002SVB0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388217>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Streetscape/contextual images and registered conservation body comment required.

SVB

14.49 Erf 89801-RE, 15 Duignam Road, Kalk Bay, S34 - Total Demolition

Case No: 26979SVB0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388145>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

A site plan indicating the proposed demolition is required.

SVB

14.50 Farm 1500, Millers Point, Cape Farms – District H, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26966SVB0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388125>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 22 January 2026.
- The structure is not graded, however the site forms part of UNESCO nominated World Heritage site inside Table Mountain Natural World Heritage Site Buffer Zone and the Millers Point grade III Cultural Landscape.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for alterations to the Millers Point Historic Longbarn building, to facilitate its use as a marine research and educational facility. The proposed alterations will remove the intrusive modern additions, and restore the original long plan, respecting the sequence of rooms layout. The alterations include removal of the roof; demolition of a modern addition and stoep with a new deck and pergola proposed in their place; alterations to doors and windows with one new

external opening and widening of another external opening, both limited to modern fabric. The proposal also includes a new retaining wall, and hard landscaping surrounding the building.

- HOMs approved two applications previously for minor works (HWC25032408EJV0327), geo-testing and disturbance (HWC25032001EJV0327)
- CoCT E&HM Branch support the proposal.
- VASSA were consulted but did not respond.
- It is noted in the heritage statement that the long barn building is proposed for grading as a Grade IIIA resource. The structure holds high age significance, and moderate to high architectural and technical significance given the method of its construction. The significance is elevated by its grouping with the homestead and its contextual role within the cultural landscape of Farm 1500.
- The heritage statement also includes heritage-based design indicators which were informed by the findings of a previously approved fabric analysis.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application, as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

- 14.51 Farm 1546, Middagkrans Farm, Paarl, S34 - Additions, Alterations & Partial Demolition**
Case No: 26969SVB0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388131>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Streetscapes/contextual images and registered conservation body comments required.

SVB

- 14.52 Erf 10937, 30 Durban Road, Bellville, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 26965XM0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388124>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Annotated Streetscape photographs and consultation required.

XM

- 14.53 Erf 14576, 3A Nantes Street, Paarl, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 26962XM0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388121>
 Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.
 Maryna Pretorius was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- The proposal is for an outbuilding (old morgue / existing storeroom) on a residential property to be connected to the existing house and converted into a master bedroom suite.
- Work has not started
- Graded IIIC within the special character
- Drakenstein objects stating that the new The new fenestration must continue their character off the original fenestration or utilize frameless glazing. The internal dividing walls of the building must be constructed of every reversible material and the existing concrete surface bed must be retained and if necessary a new raised floor installed above and new boundary condition must be plastered and consist of a combination of columns with low walls in palisade panels.
- Paarl 300 Foundation supports
- The DHF committee does not object in principle to the proposal. However, the street fenestration of the morgue needs to be symmetrical to complement the gable.
- Applicant response:
- We do not agree with the suggestion above, for the following reasons:

- The existing original fenestration of the 'morgue' is painted timber, and will be retained as such;
- Contrast between the existing fenestration material (painted timber) and proposed new (powder coated aluminium) reinforces the concept that the new fenestration should be distinguishable from the original, rather than blending in and blurring the lines - a universally accepted conservation principle;
- The powder coated aluminium fenestration in the 'morgue' will tie in with the fenestration of the house;
- Frameless glass as proposed by the DHAC will have to be fixed panes in the new window openings (W10, W13 & W14), which would not allow for cross ventilation in the bathroom area. Ventilation of the heritage resource to retain the integrity of the structure is important. Frameless glass (e.g. stacker doors) in the existing door opening (DW3) would be beyond the means of the owners, and would not provide a weatherproof solution;
- Our proposal, that the new windows and door will be powder coated aluminium, remains. The proposed brick dividing walls will be changed to drywall partitions, and a note to this effect has been added to the drawings. It is unlikely that there is a DPM under the existing concrete floor;
- The existing concrete floor is badly cracked;
- A damp proof and structurally sound solution for the floor will have to be developed with the input of a structural engineer;
- If the solution allows for a raised floor above the existing concrete floor, this will be considered, provided that the minimum ceiling height as required in terms of the NBR can be maintained and costs will not be prohibitive. The proposed new section of masonry boundary wall will be plastered and painted;
- 40% of the proposed new boundary wall will be visibly permeable; We do not agree with the DHAC recommendation above, for the following reasons:
- The combination of columns, low walls and palisade would add unnecessary clutter. A simpler, restrained approach more in keeping with the character of the reworked front façade of the house is called for;
- A palisade fence between the busy street and 'morgue' would not provide the required privacy and sound barrier and will therefore not make the proposed conversion of the 'morgue' into a bedroom viable;
- The proposed reuse of one of the existing palisade panels makes the construction of the new boundary wall / fence economically viable;
- There is no coherent boundary wall treatment along Nantes Street that dictates the use of columns, low walls and palisade panels;
- The proposed new boundary wall detail will be a big improvement on the current haphazard combination of face brick and palisade. Conclusion: The recommendation of the DHAC is NOT taken on board. The integrity of the existing door opening is not compromised in any way by the proposed fenestration solution;
- However, the width of the frame of the fixed glazed panel has been revised, to match that of the opening sections, which will give the door a symmetrical appearance. o Conclusion: The recommendation of the DHF has been considered,
- The Committee ventilated the matter and was in favour of the proposal.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application, as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

14.54 Erf 156110, 114 Campground Road, Newlands, S34 - Minor Works

Case No: 26958XM0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388117>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

POA required to apply on behalf of the owner(s).

XM

14.55 Erf 157243, 37 Hope Street, Cape Town, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26993XM0112 / <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/388201>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Consultation comments: Local municipality required.

XM

14.56 Erf 16222, 51 Addison Road, Salt River, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26983XM0107 / <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/388169>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Annotated Internal photographs required.

XM

14.57 Erf 1632, 9 Arum Road, Simons Town, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26956XM0106 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388115>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Consultation comments: Local municipality and Conservation bodies in the area

XM

14.58 Erf 45258, 15 Lothian Road, Rondebosch, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26978XM0107 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388144>

Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.

Johan Cornelius was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- The proposal is for alterations and additions to the existing building behind the historic structure and a first storey additions to this part the proposal is to extend the accommodation of the existing house and demolish the existing single storey.
- section of the house.
- Work has not started.
- Graded IIIB
- Within the HPO
- CoCT supports with conditions
- HRS comment: Although the proposal for the contemporary addition is supported in principle, HRS believes that the height and visual impact of the new addition on the IIIB resource can be further be mitigated.
- The overall height of the addition proposed should respond to the height of the side gable instead of the apex line as an indicator and/or the appearance of the new addition should be softened with the aim to reduce the overpowering visual impact of the new addition on the existing resource.
- RCID comment:
- The scale of the proposed alteration is large and the transition from the main house to the proposed first storey could be more sensitively handled in our opinion and needs further work to concentrate on minimising the extensions to the rear of the main house and rather concentrate on the proposed double storey at the rear. The modern image indicated is

appealing at first but the ""link"" at the rear noted on the north elevation will undoubtedly be very visible, likewise the elevational treatment of the west elevation and the introduction of the metal cladding is not favoured. Our recommendation is that there be more of a separation between existing and proposed and that the extent of the first floor additions behind the main house, be limited to only a small portion that does not extend behind the main house roof at all

- Applicant Response:

- The main roof is in the form of a prism and the apex which is referred to in the heritage report is indeed the height of the ridge of the side gable. The aim was to coincide with this height given the required minimum floor to ceiling height parameters.
- The overall height should respond to the height of the side gable instead of the apex line or the appearance should be softened to reduce the overpowering visual impact. The street facing façade of the visible part of the new addition to the west of the historic structure is proposed to be in glass with a glass balustrade in an attempt to make the 1997 intervention as well as the first storey addition appear as light as possible. The existing ground storey street façade is proposed to be altered with a similar glass street façade. The other part of the first-floor addition sits behind the main roof and is not visible from the public realm. The east and west elevation indicates a definite break between the new and the old and the height of the new proposal is approximately the same as the height of the side gables, well below the apex of the main roof. This configuration illustrates clearly that the main roof apex to be higher than the proposal and that the new addition will not overwhelm the historic structure. The first floor is also cladded with metal roof sheeting to break the sense of height and scale. Rondebosch Community Improvement District (RCID).
- The owners proposed to demolish a single storey section of the house. It is not the intention of the owner to demolish any existing part of the building at the rear behind the historic structure but to extend vertically on the existing ground storey footprint.
- The scale of which, albeit with new materials to the rear and to the existing main house, has been replicated to retain style and scale and avoid clashing with the main house. The second bullet is ambiguous and it appears to be a reference to the 1997 ground storey addition.
- The scale of the proposed alteration is large and the transition from the main house to the proposed first storey could be more sensitively handled. With regards to the scale of the proposal, the top of the first storey is approximately 500mm above the height of the side gable which is well below the apex of the main roof and it will also read as that. The stylistic difference between old and new was intentional to differentiate between the two architectural periods.
- Further work is needed to concentrate on minimising the extensions to the rear of the main house and rather concentrate on the proposed double storey at the rear. The fourth bullet point does not make sense.
- The "link" at the rear noted on the north elevation will undoubtedly be very visible. The link between the old and the new behind the main house has a first storey set back of approximately 750mm. This part of the first storey is largely obscured by the side wing on the west and is not visible from the public realm.
- Our recommendation is a larger separation between existing and proposal. Apart from the gap between the historic building and the new addition, the rest of the street elevation to the west of the historic building is positioned more than 2m behind the rear line of the historic building.
- The extent of the first-floor additions behind the main house, to be limited to only a small portion that does not extend behind the main house roof at all. The RCID is under the impression that the rear part of the building will be demolished which is not the case. The proposed first storey occupies the existing ground floor footprint with a set back from the historic structure with a setback of between approximately 750mm and 2m. The proposal sits over 50% of the depth of the erf away from the street boundary with a large part obscured by the historic structure and the section behind the main building will not be seen in perspective as it will appear largely behind the side wing. The question is, how much should the first storey behind the main house be set back and still retain a

- meaningful floor space and, how much emphases should be put on this if it is not visible from the public realm?
 - The proposal in a contemporary architectural expression is a challenge which requires a skilful approach and well considered design. Given the size of the existing footprint and parameters which rules this design, the notion that it is overwhelming is not true. It also clear that some of the comment was based on a misinterpretation of the design and viewed from the street, the design successfully infused two contrasting architectures.
- The matter was ventilated and the Committee was in favour of the proposal based on the responses provided by the applicant.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application, as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

14.59 Erf 59096, 105 Roebay Road, Belthorn Estate, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26987XM0109 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388179>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Motivation, Annotated Internal photographs and Local municipality comment required.

XM

14.60 Erf 95987, 39 Hope Street, Cape Town, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 26994XM0112 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388205>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Streetscape photographs and consultation comments: Local municipality "

XM

14.61 Erf 5351 and 5353, 86 Barrack Street, District Six, S34 - Minor Works

Case No: 27014XM0113 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388242>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Updated plan indicating work has started and streetscape photographs

XM

14.62 Farm RE-1591, Libertas, Stellenbosch, S27-Emergency

Case No: HWC26012301RB0122

Katie Smuts was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- S27 (and S35 and S36) Emergency application for the damaged vault at Libertas Farm, Stellenbosch.
- All the structures at Libertas are part of the declared PHS.
- Outside of any HPO.
- Work has not started.
- Proposal is to remove the collapsed rubble from the Western vault, and to then remove and store any human remains safely, until the vaults are repaired and the remains can be safely reinterred.
- The proposal from the applicant is that the remains will be stored at Iziko or in the Eastern vault if the other permit application is in place (currently not in place). Another proposed storage place is in a lockable area on the farm or at Iziko.
- SIG and SM support.
- SHF did not respond to consultation requests, and neither did VASSA or HASA.

- Consultation was initiated via e-mail with family members that could be traced to the vaults in 2023. No responses were provided by them.
- The Committee deemed that Iziko is best placed for the interim storage of the human remains until they can safely be reinterred.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application. Approval is given on condition that:

1. The work must be monitored by a suitably qualified archaeologist. The Committee endorsed the appointment of Katie Smuts to monitor the proposed work.
2. If human remains are discovered, these and any associated grave goods, must be removed and stored at Iziko, and not on-site, until they can be safely re-interred.
3. A close-out report, compiled by Katie Smuts, must be submitted to HWC within 30 days of practical completion.

15. Other Matters

16. Adoption of Resolutions and Decisions:

EJV moves to adopt and XM seconds the adoption of resolutions and decisions of the meeting of 26 January 2026.

17. Closure: The chairperson, Mr Ruan Brand, officially closed the meeting at 11:43

18. Date of next meeting: 2 February 2026

MINUTES APPROVED AND SIGNED BY:

CHAIR: _____

SECRETARY: _____

Annexure A – HWC: Heritage Officers’ Meetings

Abbreviations

APHP - Association of Professional Heritage Practitioners	ASAPA - Association of Southern African Professional Archaeologists
AHP - Agulhas Heritage Society	ABHC - Associated Bibles Hoek Conservancy (Knysna)
BKCA - Bo-Kaap Civic Association	BELCOM - Built Environment and Landscapes Committee
BKYM - Bo-Kaap Youth Movement	CBCRA - Camps Bay Clifton Ratepayers Association
CIBRA - City Bowl Ratepayers & Residents Association	CSDBOA - Clifton-On-Sea & District Bungalow Owners Association
CoCT – City of Cape Town	CTHF - Cape Town Heritage Foundation
CTHT – Cape Town Heritage Trust	CRRRA - Constantia Ratepayers & Residents Associations
CRM - Cultural Resources Management	CMP – Conservation Management Plan
DRHCA - De Rust Heritage Conservation Association	DWCA - De Waterkant Civic Association
Docomomo South Africa	DHF - Drakenstein of Heritage Foundation
DHS -Durbanville Heritage Society	EMPr - Environmental Management Program
EHM – Environmental Heritage Management department	FHRA -Franschhoek Heritage and Ratepayer Association
FoBCA - Friends of Blaauwberg Conservation Area	FoCVGB - Friends of Constantia Valley Green Belts
FE - Friends of Elim	GBRM - Great Brak River Museum
GLCA - Greater Lynfræ Civic Association	GVHAG - Greater Vredehoek Heritage Action Group
GPRRA - Green Point Ratepayers & Residents Association	GCS - Greyton Conservation Society
HVA - Harfield Village Association	HRF - Helderberg Renaissance Foundation
HMB - Heritage Mossel Bay	HOMs - Heritage Officers Meeting
HVTA - Hex Valley Tourism Association	HPO – Heritage protects overlay.
HBRRA - Hout Bay & Ratepayers and Residents Association	
HIA - Heritage Impact Assessment	HWC – Heritage Western Cape
ILASA - Institute for Landscape Architecture in South Africa	IACom - Impact Assessment Committee
KBHA - Kalk Bay Historical Association	IGIC - Inventories, Gradings and Interpretations Committee
KBSJRR - Kalk bay-St James Ratepayers & Residents Association	KHS - Kommetjie Heritage Society
LKID - Lower Kenilworth Improvement District	MGHS - McGregor Heritage Society
MHCS - Muizenberg Historical Conservation Society	NHC - Napier Heritage & Conservation
NCW – Not Conservation Worthly	NASDB - Nelspoort Agency of Sustainable Development body
NEMA - National Environmental Management Act (No. 107 of 1998)	NHRA - National Heritage Resources Act (No. 25) of 1999
NID - Notification of Intent to Develop	NRA - Newlands Residents Association
NCOA - Noetzie Conservancy Owners Association	OCA - Observatory Civic Association
OH - Oudtshoorn Heritage	OHAC - Overstrand Heritage and Aesthetics Committee
Paarl300 Foundation	PPP - Public Participation Process
PRRA - Pinelands Ratepayers & Residents Association	PHCT - Pniel Heritage and Cultural Trust
PACF - Prince Albert Cultural Foundation	RMCA - Rosebank and Mowbray Planning & Architectural Committee
SAHRA - South African Heritage Resources Agency	SAHRIS - South African Heritage Resources Information System
SRHS - Salt River Heritage Society	SPFA - Sea Point for All Group
SFB - Sea Point, Fresnaye & Bantry Bay Ratepayers & Residents Association	
SvDSF - Simon van der Stel Foundation Cape Town	
STHS - Simon's Town Historical Society	SHC - Stanford Conservation Trust & Stanford Heritage Committee
SHF - Stellenbosch Heritage Foundation	SIG - Stellenbosch Interest Group
SHCT - Stillbaai Heritage Conservation Trust	SHA - Swellendam Heritage Association
GHT - George Heritage Trust	OWVS - Old Wynberg Village Society
RVRA - Rustenburg Valley Residents Association	TVHF - Tulbagh Valley Heritage Foundation
TRHCS - Touws River Heritage and Conservation Society	UCRRA - Upper Claremont and Residents and Ratepayers Association
VASSA - Vernacular Architecture Society of South Africa	WERRA - Walmer Estate Ratepayers and Residents Association
WUECAG - Walmer/University Estate Conservation Action Group	Ward 2 Forum
WCAC - West Coast Aboriginal Council	WCC - Whale Coast Conservation
WAAC - Woodstock Aesthetic Advisory Committee	WRA - Woodstock Residents Association
WHCS - Worcester Heritage & Conservation Society	WECA - Wynberg East Civic Association
WRRRA - Wynberg Residents and Ratepayers Association	