

**APPROVED MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE,
HERITAGE OFFICERS' MEETING
Held on Monday, 2 March 2026 at 08:30 via MS Teams**



1. Opening and Welcome

The Chairperson, Ms. Chane Herman, officially opened the meeting at 08:30 and welcomed everyone present.

2. Attendance

Members

Ms. Chane Herman	Chairperson, Acting Specialist Heritage Officer	CH
Ms. Corne Alexander	Specialist Heritage Officer	CN
Ms. Stephanie Barnardt-Delpont	Specialist Heritage Officer	SB
Mr. Ruan Brand	Specialist Heritage Officer	RB
Emily Jane Vowles	Heritage Officer	EJV
Ms. Chiara Singh	Heritage Officer	CSI
Ms. Sofia Briel	Heritage Officer	SVB

Staff

Ms. Aneeqah Brown	Secretariat	AB
Ms. Yusrah Hoosain	I&APs group chat	YH
Ms. Waseefa Dhansay	Assistant Director	WD
Ms. Nosiphiwo Tafeni	Back-up Secretariat	NT

Legal Advisor

Ms. Penelope Meyer	Deputy Director	PM
--------------------	-----------------	-----------

Observers

Visitors

Paul Boshoff	Heritage Practitioner	Item 13.12
Ashley Lillie	Applicant	13.7
Claire Abrahamse	Heritage Practitioner	13.15
Clive Theunissen	Drakenstein Municipality	Drakenstein items
David Halkett		14.36

Capacity

3. Apologies

Ms. Xola Mlwandle	Heritage Officer	XM
-------------------	------------------	-----------

Absent

None.

4. Acceptance of the Code of Conduct

Members and staff raised their hands on the MS Teams platform to indicate acceptance of the Code of Conduct.

5. Approval of Agenda

The Committee resolved to approve the agenda of 2 March 2026 with the following additions:
EJV moved to adopt the agenda and SVB seconded.

6. Approval of Minutes of the Previous Meeting

6.1. The Committee resolved to approve the minutes of 23 February 2026. RB moved to adopt the minutes and CN seconded.

7. Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

8. Confidential matters

None.

9. Standing Items

9.1. Site inspections undertaken

9.1.1 Erf 918, 8 Antrim Road, Green Point (CSI) – 26 February

9.2 Proposed Site Inspections

None.

9.3 Site Inspection Reports

9.3.1 Erf 918, 8 Antrim Road, Green Point (CSI)

- HOMS undertook a site inspection to assess the structure for demolition and its streetscape context.
- The Committee evaluated the building and noted the damp and moisture issues in the below ground level units used for accommodation.
- The structure has a grading of IIIB however it has been significantly altered and would be worthy of a IIIC grading.
- The streetscape was noted as having mixed architectural typologies with buildings being altered and adapted for residential or business purposes.

COMMENT

The Committee notes the contents of the site inspection report dated 27 February 2026.

9.4 Preparation for upcoming Committee meetings

9.4.1 Appeals – 4 March 2026

9.5 Tribunal Updates (Legal)

9.5.1 None.

9.6 Interim and Close-Out Reports

9.6.1 Close-Out Report: Erf 45192, 6 Park Road, Rondebosch (RB)

Case No: HWC25101701RB1022

DISCUSSION

- Works to the structure (NAPTOSA owned Chartley House, IIIA, inside Silwood HPOZ) commenced without an HWC permit, resulting in a Stop Works Order from HWC after a site inspection on 16 October 2025.
- HWC received the application for the collapsed portico and requested the appointment of a heritage architect to write a close-out report. HWC endorsed Mike Scurr of RSA for this and he also monitored above the close-out report.
- RSA undertook inspections on 19, 20, 25 Nov and 2 Dec 2025, with a final close-out inspection on 5 Dec 2025.
- Works included like-for-like repair of timber-vaulted portico, waterproofing, reinstatement of precast bracket per engineer detail, plaster cornice repair, and repainting.

- Close-out report submitted to HWC on 16 Feb 2026 recommended approval and confirming works completed appropriately with no concerns noted.

ENDORSEMENT

The Committee resolved to endorse the close-out report titled “NAPTOSA Chartley House 6 Park Road Rondebosch”, dated 16 February 2026, and prepared by Mike Scurr of RSA, as having met the conditions of the HWC issued permit, dated 18 November 2025.

9.7 Incomplete Applications

9.7.1 Matters Arising: 13.5

9.7.2 New Matters: 14.1, 14.3, 14.5, 14.9, 14.10, 14.11, 14.13, 14.14, 14.15, 14.16, 14.22, 14.23, 14.24, 14.25, 14.26, 14.27, 14.29, 14.32, 14.36, 14.38, 14.39

9.8 Decisions taken under ASD delegation

9.8.1 Matters Arising: 13.6, 13.10, 13.11, 13.16, 13.19, 13.21, 13.22 and 13.23

9.8.2 New Matters: 14.2, 14.8, 14.30, 14.40

9.9 Referrals to Committees

9.9.1 Matters Arising: 13.9 (IACom)

9.9.2 New Matters: 14.19 (IACom), 14.35 (IACom)

9.10 Exemption Notice 9051 of 2025: NCW graded within CoCT

9.9.1 Matter Arising:

9.9.2 New Matters: 14.41

9.11 Archaeological Matters

9.11.1 Imam Haron Site Inspection (16062006WD0928M) (SB)

DISCUSSION

- Roadworks within Imam Haron Road, Claremont (Historic Gas Pipeline Inspection)
- On 2 February 2026, Dr Antonieta Jerardino carried out a follow-up archaeological inspection as required before removing parts of the historic gas pipeline and valves along Imam Haron Road.
- The exposed gas valve at the corner of Imam Haron and Livingstone Road/2nd Avenue, as well as a second valve approximately 6m to the south, were inspected. A section of pipeline between 2nd Avenue and Chichester Road was also opened for inspection. No valve chambers or associated structural features were identified; the valves were found to be directly buried in sand beneath the road surface. No archaeological materials or heritage-related finds were recorded in any of the inspected areas.
- The pipelines and valves were assessed as having low heritage significance and do not require further mitigation.

RECOMMENDATION:

No further heritage action is needed. However, a standard chance finds procedure should be followed if any archaeological materials, such as glass, ceramics, structural remains, or human remains, are found during the work.

9.11.2 Remainder of Farm 11/219, Hartenbos (HWC25111401SB1114) (SB)

DISCUSSION

- During construction at Hartland Estate near Mossel Bay, workers accidentally found an old, unmarked cemetery underground. There were 9 graves (5 adults and 4 children). The graves are probably from the 1800s or possibly even the 1700s.
- There were no signs of the cemetery on the surface before construction started (original HIA/AIA included for review).

When the graves were discovered:

1. Work stopped in that area.
2. The site was fenced off.
3. The police were informed.
4. Heritage Western Cape (HWC) issued an emergency permit so archaeologists could carefully remove and protect the remains.
 - Some graves were shallow and had already been disturbed by construction. These remains were carefully removed and are currently being kept safe at the local police station.
 - Four deeper graves were not disturbed and were left in place because the planned road will not dig deep enough to affect them.
 - The shallow graves that were removed will be reburied in the same area, but deeper underground to protect them. A registered undertaker will handle the reburial.
 - To protect the cemetery permanently:
 1. The cemetery area will be officially surveyed and recorded.
 2. It will be noted in the property's Title Deed.
 3. A commemorative plaque will be placed there.
 - The HWC requires a 60-day public consultation. This means:
 - That consist of notices will be placed on site and in newspapers.
 - This is currently underway; however, the appointed archaeologist needs clarification if the burial can be handled under the existing permit.

COMMENT

As the consultation process has commenced under the existing Section 36 process, this may be concluded and be utilized for the new Section 36 process required for the reburial process.

9.11.3 Erf 97631, 41 Newlands Avenue, Newlands (RB)

- HOMS response from 23 February 2026:
 1. All human remains, and associated artefacts must be deposited with the repository (Iziko Museums) within 5 days in line with the repository agreement.
- Applicant confirmed that remains of three individuals were delivered to Iziko Museums and are under temporary care pending determination of final resting place (within 2 days of receiving the response).
 2. HWC requested clarification on whether the archaeologist was monitoring below-foundation trenching where the most recent burial was discovered.
- Archaeologist was on site and was monitoring other below foundation level excavation work in the front (at the garage) when the find was reported at the rear. In his professional opinion, it would not have made a difference for him to have been there at the time given the poor preservation (i.e., wood leaching with high acidic water profile means there is no obvious soil discolouration that is expected for where a coffin would be – only small cranial fragments were found in terms of biological remains – when looking for further signs of remains, nothing was found, so likely poor preservation with just the tip of the head being above the waterline in Newlands having been preserved with some of the hardier burial associated items, like coffin nails, shroud pin, etc.).
- HWC to confirm compliance with monitoring requirements and whether mitigation procedures were followed during trenching.
- HWC to confirm next steps for consultation and reburial process once excavation is complete (with remains now at Iziko temporarily).
- The Committee deemed the actions to safeguard the exposed heritage resources as in line with the mitigation agreement and in the best interest of safeguarding the heritage resource.

COMMENT

The Committee noted the e-mails received on 25 and 27 February 2026 in response to the Comment issued by HOMS on 25 February 2026. The Committee is satisfied that attempts have been made to safeguard the heritage resources on site. If further heritage resources, in particular evidence of

human remains, are discovered on site, work must stop immediately and HWC must be contacted via the case officer before any further disturbance of the heritage resource occurs.

9.12 Illegal Works Database: Stop Works Orders issued

None.

9.13 Permit deadline:

Wednesday, 4 March 2026 @12h00

9.14 SAHRIS rollout feedback (RB)

- HWC hosted a webinar on 27 February 2026 to run through the Built Environment Application Form.
- Some suggestions from the public came from this:
 - A consolidated guideline document link at the start of the application procedure that includes, for example, the photo doc compilation guidelines.
 - Better warning message fonts/colours.
 - Better instructions for the Case Title especially for multiple structures on the same cadastral unit.
- New HWC Committees have been appointed by Council. Once these members are confirmed, training will be setup to ensure that new Committees operate less on the OneDrive system for cases and utilize SAHRIS. This will be discussed with the ASD.
- Officer specific training also needs to occur. This will be discussed with the ASD.
- Changes from HOMS on 23 February 2027 will start being actioned next week with the SAHRIS admin team having returned from Lesotho.
- S35/S36 had minimal work during this week. Further progress will occur in this week.

10. Administrative Matters

10.1. Draft Project Plan and Minimum Information (WD)

- Auditors raised concerns on how information is stored.
- Draft project plan was drafted by management and input from HOMs is required.

COMMENT:

The Committee is in support of the minimum information requirements as presented to the Committee.

10.2. Prestwich Memorial (WD)

- The previous permit, dated 15 December 2021 (Case No: 21102206SB1118E), was issued to Dr Orton for the BP site (Erf 9795, Green Point), where human remains were exhumed, subject to the condition that the human remains must be housed at the Prestwich Ossuary in accordance with the permit conditions.
- Letters to the forum and the National Minister are on record indicating HWC's position on the matter.

10.3. DCAS ministerial event (WD)

- RB/WD to attend

11. Monitoring by practitioner

11.1. None

12. Discussion of the Agenda

12.1. None

MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED

13. MATTERS ARISING

13.1 Erf 237, 39 Nuwe Street, Elim, S27- Additions & Alterations Case No: 27288CH0205 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388968>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 17 and 19 February 2026.
- Graded II
- Proposal is to restore the existing structure, the addition of bedroom and en-suite, extending the kitchen at the rear and raising the existing roof.
- Work has not started.
- Agulhas Municipality supports.
- Agulhas Heritage Society supports.
- Friends of Elim supports and recommends that all changes be done in accordance with the Elim design guidelines and that aluminium is not used on the street facing facade of the house.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

13.2 Erf 281, 51 Bezuidenhout Street, Stanford, S31- Additions & Alterations Case No: 27258CH0202 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388857>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 18 February 2026.
- Not Graded inside the declared Stanford Heritage Area
- Proposal is for a music room, double garage and conservatory to the rear of the property.
- Work has not started.
- OHAC supports.
- SHC supports.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

13.3 Erf 149, 16 Queen Victoria Street, Stanford, S31-Additions & Alterations Case No.: HWC25100301CH1031

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 23 February 2026.
- Proposal is for internal alterations. Future work includes adding shutters to the windows.
- Work applied for has already been completed.
- OHAC supports the as-built internal alterations and additions and provided a comment stating that the new shutter articulating the Queen Victoria Street facade be instated.

- SHC supports.

COMMENT

HWC does not condone unauthorised work. The committee resolved to issue a Section 51 letter, as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

For the above formal response to be issued, the applicant must first provide a proof of payment with the correct reference number indicating the correct amount having been paid as per the Provincial Notice 73/2025 in the Provincial Gazette Extraordinary No. 9088, dated 18 June 2025.

CH

13.4 Erf 156110, 144 Campground Road, Newlands, S34-Minor Works Case No.: 27349CH0211 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389182>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 24 February 2026... required internal photographs.
- Graded IIIA inside HPO
- Proposal is for maintenance and repairs to the exterior of the building. The work includes:
 - Repairing and treating existing external surfaces.
 - Repainting the exterior.
 - General maintenance.
- Work has not started.
- Previous applications: S34 for regularizing internal work (26958XM0106).

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

13.5 Erf 11956, 51 Regent Street, Woodstock, S34 – Additions & Alterations Case No: HWC25090901CSI0916

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

WAAC comment required.

CSI

13.6 Erf 284, Spoorweghuis E24, Eendekuil, S34 – Additions & Alterations Case No: HWC25101408CSI1023

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received 17 February for locality map, internal and streetscape photos
- Work applied for has not started
- Not Graded
- Outside HPO
- Proposed work is for an extension to the existing dwelling to create additional living space.
- Bergrivier Municipality provided comment and support the application

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not

negatively impact on heritage resources.

CSI

13.7 Erf 918, 8 Antrim Road, Green Point, S34 – Total Demolition
Case No: 27356CSI0211 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389194>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

Ashley Lillie was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Work applied for has not started
- Graded IIIB
- Outside HPO
- Proposed work is for the total demolition of the structure.
- CoCT provided comment and do not support the application stating, "The building has been graded as a IIIB heritage resources as it is considered intrinsically significant, albeit now much altered to accommodate several guest rooms. It is evident that the house form and roof had remained intact, but the internal layout was changed and modernised to provide several guest rooms. Therefore, the original layout is no longer apparent. However, the stoep area with its unusual generous timber bay windows and front door is considered rare and unusual and worthy of protection. As the immediate neighbourhood is still very much intact, the loss of this house will impact negatively on the immediate heritage environment."
- GPRRA did not provide comment within 30 days.
- CTHF provided comment and support the application stating, ""The Foundation is of the opinion that the original period frontage and general form of the house does warrant retention as a IIIC resource, but taking into account the mixed architectural nature of this street and the fact that it is not situated in a HPOZ, we concluded that total demolition can be permitted.""
- The applicant responded to CoCT's comment noting that the heritage resource is not worthy of a IIIB grading and thereby not worthy of retention: "While retaining its original period frontage and general form, the house has been altered both externally and internally to a point where it cannot be considered to meet the yardstick for a Grade IIIB heritage resource, namely "Heritage resources, buildings and sites of considerable architectural aesthetic, social and historical value. Structures and sites of considerable intrinsic value for social, historical, scenic and/or aesthetic reasons either individually or as a whole." It would appear that the grading currently assigned was based on an assessment taking in only the frontage and roof form. A closer inspection (as illustrated in the photographs provided) makes clear the extent of alterations has resulted in a situation where those qualities are not consistent with the grading assigned. It is evident however from a closer inspection that the building is not sufficiently intact nor of sufficient interest to be conservation-worthy. The suggestion by E&HM that the building warrants conservation owing to the stoep, and association bay windows and entrance is not consistent with grading policy. Furthermore, the suggestion by E&HM that the context can be described as 'heritage context' is misplaced and not reflected in the qualities of neighbouring buildings nor the qualities of the general context. The context of the property is not one warranting the imposition of any conditions in respect of a replacement structure on the property. The owners of the property have provided a detailed motivation for the application. Over and above the purely heritage resources related considerations the motivation appears to make an entirely valid case for the demolition."
- All parties have been invited to attend HOMS.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the total demolition as there is insufficient heritage significance to warrant retention.

CSI

- 13.8 Portions 10, 17, & 22 of Farm 221, Farm Koopman's Kloof, off Botfontein Road, Stellenbosch, S38(8) – NID**
Case No: 27042CSI0114 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388287>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received 20 February for site photos.
- Trigger: (i) exceeding 5 000m² in extent, (ii) involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions thereof, S38(1)(d) Rezoning of a site exceeding 10 000m² in extent.
- Previous studies: HIA with VIA submitted in 2025 for Portions 4, 5, 11, 14 of Farm 221 (HWC23092010CN0921)
- Proposed development: low cost residential development with associated infrastructure
- Current zoning/land-use: Vacant / agricultural
- Built environment: Site is vacant, no impacts to any historical structures are expected.
- Visual impacts: IIIC cultural landscape
- Archaeology: Agricultural land, no identified archaeological resources.
- Palaeontology: Palaeo sensitivity on the SAHRIS palaeo map is low
- Applicant recommends: Architectural Analysis (i.e., fabric analysis, historical analysis, material analysis etc.), Socio-Historical and Cultural Study, Visual Impact Assessment, Cultural Assessment

FINAL COMMENT

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon.

CSI

- 13.9 Portion 1 of Farm 189, Farm Uyekraal, Saldanha Bay, S38(8) – HIA**
Case No: HWC24040313CSI0925

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the application to IACom on 11 March 2026 due to earthwork concerns.

CSI

- 13.10 Portion 2 of Farm 1576, off Kleinbosch Road, Paarl, S34 – Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27112CSI0120 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388439>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received 16 February for DHAC comment and streetscape photos
- Work applied for has been completed
- Graded IIIC (main dwelling)
- Outside HPO
- Completed work is for additions and alterations to the wine cellar completed in 2005 and alterations to the main dwelling completed in 2002. Work completed in the wine cellar includes the addition of a tank store, barrel store, workshop, and a covered general storage and recycling area. Work completed to the main dwelling includes the extension of the existing stoep/veranda area and replacement of the roof sheeting, a built-in braai, a pizza oven, an en-suite bathroom, and a timber screen between the existing kitchen and dining room.
- DHAC provided comment and support the application
- Paarl 300 did not support the application stating that they cannot comment on work already started or completed without a permit.
- DHF did not support the application stating DHF cannot comment on work that has been started or

completed. Had the DHF received the application before work started, the committee would not have objected to the proposal.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8.

The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to issue a S51 letter as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

CSI

13.11 Erf 9284, Bizweni Avenue, Somerset West, S34 - Total Demolition

Case No: 27393SVB0213 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389347>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding Information received on 20 February 2026
- Not Graded
- Outside HPO
- Work has been completed
- The completed work includes the demolition of two ancillary structures and construction of replacement structure. The heritage consultant asserts that given the location of the structures, on the boundary of the farm, the subject structures were clearly utilitarian and ancillary to the main buildings on the site and more than likely historically used for workers' accommodation or similar. These now demolished structures would have held no heritage significance. The larger site houses various structures of which a number are seemingly older than 60 years. The site is home to Bizweni Primary School as well as the Bizweni Church.
- The CoCT declined to comment on the demolition of structure B given that no photographs were available of this structure and therefore its heritage value could not be assessed. The CoCT agree with the grading of NCW for structure A and requests that HWC accept and conclude this Section 51 application without their comment. HRF state that whilst the work is accepted to have a low impact on the heritage value, the HRF abstain from further comment on this, on the basis that the work is already done thus limiting the value of any such comments.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8.

The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to issue a S51 letter as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

EJV

13.12 Erf 14157 (previously Erven 2641 & 2643), 36 Pagasvlei Road, Constantia, S34-Deviations

Case No: HWC25100905SVB1031

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

Paul Boshoff was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 12, 13, 17 & 18 November 2025.
- The application was heard at HOMs on 24 November 2025, whereby the committee requested the following further requirements:
 - 1. The full structural engineering report referenced within this application must be submitted to HWC.
 - 2. The Methodology and Materials Specifications must be updated in line with the current proposal and submitted to HWC.

- The application was tabled at HOMs on 23 February 2026, whereby the committee resolved that the further requirements had not yet been met, and a revised Methodology and Materials Specifications document is required with detailed specifications.
- The requested information was provided by the applicant on 11 and 25 February.
- The whole property is graded IIIA and outside of any HPO.
- Work has started as per the previous 2024 approved plans.
- The proposal is for deviation from a previous permit issued by HOMs and dated 07 October 2024, for repairs, upgrades and renovations to the existing manor house, cellar and barn and shed (HWC24070305SJ0704).
- The deviations to building 1 (the manor house) include closing an internal doorway, exclusion of a few previously proposed internal walls, exclusion of two previously proposed external doors off the "dell kitchen" and "drinks kitchen" and a variation of the north facing windows of the new veranda in accordance with the engineers' requirements.
- The deviations to building 2 (the cellar) include various changes to windows on the southern facade, removal of two windows off the "micro winery - barrel store", removal of an existing wall between the kitchenette and staff room, as well as the addition of new internal walls, a proposed covered patio in place of the existing store room, the addition of two new windows and one door between the "micro winery - barrel store" and the new covered patio. The deviations also include demolition and like-for-like reconstruction of the northern manager "open plan office" room and storeroom walls as per the structural engineers' recommendations.
- The deviations to buildings 3 (the barn also referred to as the stables) include removal of two windows, slight variation of internal walls of the "private consulting room", and a narrower version of the large window in the northern façade. The deviations also include demolition and like-for-like re-building of the western walls, northern walls and a portion of the eastern walls for a structurally sound facade. This is proposed instead of the previously approved restoration of these walls, as per the structural engineers' recommendations.
- There are no deviations to building 4 (the shed also referred to as the storeroom).
- As per the CoCT statement of significance the manor house is a very unusual double storey Victorian farmhouse, in a farming landscape. One of the few remaining in Constantia that has not been 'restored'. High contextual value as an important part of the Constantia cultural landscape. Clear environmental significance as part of a working agricultural landscape.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources. Approval is given on condition that:

1. A close-out report, compiled by a suitably qualified heritage consultant, must be submitted to HWC within 30 days of practical completion.

SVB

13.13 Erf 4942, City Hall, 56 Corporation Street, Cape Town City Centre, S27-Minor Works Case No: 27289SVB0205 <https://sahris.org.za/node/388969>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information was received on 20 February 2026.
- The property is graded II and within the Central City HPOZ.
- Work has not started.
- The proposals are to install two balustrades to the existing access ramps along the Southern facade (Longmarket St) to ensure universal access. Balustrade 1 is proposed on Bay 10 (southeast corner) of the façade, and balustrade 2 is proposed on Bay 3 (southwest corner). The proposal is also to add three security gates for access control—two in the ground-floor foyer and one in the first-floor west corridor—and security cordoning in the third-floor attic space.
- There is currently a CMP in place for the City Hall, dated 03 April 2018.

- The proposal is assessed by the heritage consultant to be compliant with the CMP.
- In summary the heritage statement assessed the impact of the proposed work as follows:
 - The facades are richly decorated, and the proposed balustrades have been assessed as having high impact, however, the impact has been mitigated by excluding the balustrade on the significant northern facade and installing two new balustrades along the less significant southern facade. The heritage statement notes that the proposed southern balustrades are acceptable, as they will make the ramps comply with the current modern building codes and universal access and the design of the balustrades is sympathetic to the aesthetics of the City Hall, as the design references the balustrades in the hall.
 - The installation of the security gates is assessed to have a moderate impact as per the heritage statement.
 - The cordoning off in the third-floor attic space is assessed to have a high impact, however the installation is to be permeable and employ minimal fixing points, the installation complies with the CMP's recommendation, and the material and design of the installation provide a modern layer and are consistent with the previously approved security gates in the building.
- The heritage consultant (RSA) recommends that HWC approve the proposed work outlined in 'Section 4.0 Proposed Works' and permit the following detailed drawings: Proposed Roof Plan & Locality Map (32518_C – 0000); Proposed Bay 10 South Facade Ramp Balustrade (32518_C – 0001 & 32518_C – 0002); Proposed Bay 3 South Facade Ramp Balustrade (32518_C – 0003 & 32518_C – 0004); Proposed South Ambulatory Passage Gate (32518_C – 0006); Proposed North Ambulatory Passage Gate (32518_C – 0005); Proposed Security Cordoning & Gate (32518_C – 0007); Proposed First Floor Passage Security Gate (32518_C – 0008).
- Furthermore, the heritage statement includes specific recommendations for the proposed work, including but not limited to the recommendation that the security gates be designed to have minimal impact or avoid the surrounding decorative plaster detailing when fixed to the walls and panelling; for the existing rectangle opening to be reflected in the design of the gate by outlining the shape; the use of permeable glass or metal work gates to ensure continued sight lines of the passage and that the cordoning off in the third-floor attic is permeable and employs minimal fixing points.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources. Approval is given on condition that:

1. The work must be monitored by a suitably qualified architect with heritage experience. The committee endorsed the appointment of Mike Scurr to monitor the proposed work.
2. A close-out report, compiled by Mike Scurr, must be submitted to HWC within 30 days of practical completion.
3. The monitoring architect must be consulted on the detailed design of the installations to ensure adherence to the recommendations on page 64 of the heritage statement.

SVB

13.14 Portion 9 of Portion 3 of Farm 104, Edgbaston, Stellenbosch, S34-Minor Works **Case No: HWC25111704SVB1121**

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 23 February 2026.
- The property is proposed grade IIIC (Stellenbosch Heritage Survey) and outside of any HPO. However, it is within a proposed Grade IIIB landscape unit. The property is also along the R44 Scenic Route.
- Work has not started.

- The proposal is for remedial and repair work and like-for-like reconstruction of portions of the derelict barn building after collapse of the main gable during inclement weather. The proposal also includes demolition of the ablution extension which is assessed as having no heritage significance.
- The heritage report assesses the buildings significance as IIIB with potential to be elevated to IIIA with appropriate conservation.
- The heritage report recommends that the minor works application, which is for substantial like-for-like replacement of damaged or otherwise deteriorated fabric, be approved and that the work be monitored by a suitably qualified architect with heritage experience together with a suitably qualified structural engineer, both as endorsed by HWC. Further, that in the unlikely event that archaeological material comes to light within the works area (which is to be limited to the building footprint itself), that HWC be immediately informed and HWC's standard protocols and chance finds procedures based on NHRA S.35 immediately become effective; that the subject building be added to the Provincial Heritage Register; and that this document, as approved by HWC, form part of the tender package for prospective contractors for the work as set out in this document.

COMMENT

The Committee is supportive of the proposed interventions. For Heritage Western Cape (HWC) to issue a permit, the following is required:

1. The applicant must appoint a suitably qualified consultant with the appropriate heritage experience to oversee the proposed work.
2. HWC is to be provided with the details of the consultant and their CV confirming suitability to oversee the work.
3. A letter of appointment to be signed by the applicant and consultant.

Once the above has been fulfilled, HWC will provide the required permit.

SVB

13.15 Erf 65-RE, 49 Dirkie Uys Street, Franschhoek, 34-Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27284SVB0204 <https://sahris.org.za/node/388958>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

Claire Abrahamse was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- This application was heard at HOMs on 16 February 2026 whereby the committee requested the following information:
 1. A revised drawing set is to be submitted incorporating the recommendations of the Heritage Report, dated January 2026, prepared by Claire Abahamse as listed on page 50
 2. The applicant in consultation with the architect and property owner are to consider the use of skylights and a single dormer instead of the three proposed dormers. Should this be incorporated it is to be reflected on the aforementioned revised drawing set for submission to HWC.
- The property is Graded IIIA and within the Franschhoek Historic Core and within the buffer area of Grade II Main Road & Hugenote Road scenic route.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for alterations to the main house and outbuildings, including partial removal of the internal "spine wall", the raising of the roof pitch to allow for the roof loft to be habitable, and the incorporation of dormer windows. The proposal is also for partial demolition of the outbuildings to make way for a new garage and adjoining driveway.
- A previous application for alterations and additions to the building was approved by HWC in July 2024 (HWC24071005CSI0711).
- Stellenbosch Municipality support the proposal and refer it to HWC.
- SIG advised that the property is outside of their area of interest.
- SHF were consulted but did not respond.
- The Ward Councillor was consulted and did not respond.

- Franschhoek Heritage and Ratepayers Association submitted objections to the proposal dated 05 January 2025. The applicant then submitted a response to these objections and received a revised objection letter from the conservation body, dated 29 January 2026. This revised objection letter and the applicant's response can be summarized as follows:
 - FHRA objected to the extent of the internal opening to the spine wall, as the idea of keeping this wall intact contributes significantly to the historical reading and hence layering of this fabric. The applicant responded by saying that the opening width was reduced in the previous revision and that a smaller opening will not afford the liveability that the current one does, making the partial removal of the spine wall a potentially wasteful exercise.
 - FHRA object to the opening up and removal of a significant portion of the old external wall. However, the applicant noted that this work had already been approved by HWC in July 2024, the approval remains valid and is simply being incorporated into the current set of plans.
 - FHRA note that the accommodation sought in the loft would be acceptable if contained within the existing ridge height and building envelope. The revised pitch & ridgeline height addition of 98cm and new dormers is not supported. The applicant responded by saying that The latest plans drop the overall ridge height increase of the roof from 1.4m to 0.98m. Unfortunately, the current roof loft volume does not align with South Africa's Building Standards, and to retain the roof ridge and drop the floor would potentially damage the historic joists and timber boards that are so characteristic of the ground floor space. The landowner has produced a study of the heritage houses in Franschhoek, to show that many of them have living areas in the loft, including IIIA-graded resources. It is important to remember that the 980mm increase in height is accompanied by a return to a more historically-correct 40-degree pitch, and that the ridgeline of the building is set back from the gable itself. Because of the triangulation of the roof form, this increased height (of below a metre) is further visually mitigated.
 - Further, FHRA note that the proposed dormer windows – despite their reduction in size and setback from wall face - remain intrusive viewed against the backdrop of a traditional roof form - notably when viewed from the side street and side elevation (De Villiers St.). These are not supported and should be removed. In response the applicant noted that the study as part of Annexure A shows the prevalence of dormers in the area. Additionally, there are already smaller dormers in the position of two of the proposed ones, which can already be seen from De Villiers Street.
 - Lastly FHRA noted that the inherited photo voltaic panel layout dominates the roof form and when viewed as a complete roofscape do not contribute to the historic signifiers and advised that they be possibly be positioned elsewhere such as on the garage roof. The applicant notes this, however stated that for the moment the PV panels will not be replaced, but that this comment shall be taken into account should the system need to be upgraded or changed in the future.
- All I&APs have been invited to today's meeting.
- The recommendation of the Heritage Report is that the proposal should be approved with conditions. The conditions have been incorporated into the building plans submitted with the further requirements.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources. Approval is given on condition that:

1. A close-out report, compiled by Claire Abrahamse, must be submitted to HWC within 30 days of practical completion.

13.16 Erf 220, 16 Van Der Stel Street, Tulbagh, S34-Minor Works
Case No: 27153CN0123 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388548>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information was requested for Company Resolution and correct plan which was received on 13 and 19 Feb.
- Grading: Not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: Internal alterations to modernise the bank
- Heritage Considerations: The site's significance relates to the streetscape. The proposal will have no negative impacts on the heritage resource.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

13.17 Erf 25946, 259 Lower Main Road, Observatory, S34-Additions & Alterations
Case No: 27224CN0130 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388768>

Ms Corne Alexander introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information was for I&AP comments and motivation which was received on 25 Feb
- Grading: IIIC
- HPO: Inside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: To extend the property to the rear to add four accommodation units.
- Consultation:
- CoCT supports
- OCA has no objection
- Heritage Considerations: The proposal will have no negative impact on the associated level of significance.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

13.18 Erf 2932, 54 Rathfelder Avenue, Constantia, S34-Minor Works
Case No: 27411CN0216 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389391>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Dated stamped photographs of the loft area is required.

CN

13.19 Erf 55766, 5 Kinkleway Avenue, Newlands, S34-Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27328SVB0209 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389084>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information was for CoCT Comments which was received on 25 Feb
- Grading: The property is graded IIIC. Grading Notes: Has some intact elements such as its windows, front door, porch and chimney. Statement of Significance: Forms part of the early to mid 20th century development of Claremont. Is a fairly good architectural example which has been altered with additions. Has a fairly good interface with the street as is obscured by its boundary hedge. Contributes slightly to the streetscape and area.
- HPO: Outside
- Status of work: Not started
- Proposal: The proposal is for partial demolition of various portions of the main dwelling and cottage as well as for various additions and alterations to both the main house and the cottage building.
- Consultation:
- CoCT supports
- GLCA support the proposal.
- Heritage considerations: Given the limited grading, existing alterations, and modest streetscape contribution, the proposal is not considered to result in a heritage impact of significance.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

13.20 Erf 71, 12 Barry Street, Robertson, S34-Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27175CN0127 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388627>

Ms Corne Alexander introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information was requested for Internal & external photos and Municipal comments and a clarity regarding work that has started which was received on 17, 18 and 20 February.
- Grading: IIIB (as per previous permit)
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: 20091808ND1006E - for similar work to the current proposal, just without the garage conversion
- Proposal: For an extension to the rear, internal alterations, changes to doors and windows, solar panels, like for like roof replacement and conversion of garage to flat. Municipal inspections were never carried out, and no final certificates were issued, hence this submission.
- Consultation:
- Langeberg Municipality supports the submission to HWC.
- Heritage Considerations: All work previous permitted by HWC in 2020, except for garage conversion. Work as permitted started Jan 2021, and the owners moved in May 2021. This application is now seen as a deviation to include the internal changes highlighted in yellow to the dwelling along with the garage conversion. No impacts to the IIIB resource.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

13.21 Erf 89, 47 Jeffcoat Avenue, Bergvliet, S34-Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27089CN0119 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388383>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information was requested for All photos, motivation and confirmation that some work has started which was received on 24 Feb.
- Grading: Not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Has started. The plan notes the bedroom extension and covered porch as as-built.
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: For extensions to the sides and rear.
- Consultation:
- CoCT supports
- Heritage Considerations: No impacts anticipated to the limited significance of the heritage resource.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

13.22 Erf 3523, 14 Union Street, Strand, S34- Total Demolition

Case No.: 27363SB0211 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389213>

CASE SUMMARY

- Proposal: Total demolition of one of the two dwellings located at 14 Union Street.
- Work start: No
- Grade: Not graded / recommended NCW
- HPO: Outside
- Comments: CoCT declined its opportunity to comment, HRF support
- additional information received 24 February 2026.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the total demolition as there is insufficient heritage significance to warrant retention.

SB

13.23 Erf 50356, 15 Kildare Road, Newlands, S34- Additions, Alterations & Partial Demolition

Case No.: 27312SB0209 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389052>

CASE SUMMARY

- Proposal: construction of a new First Storey level to the existing house.
- Work start: No
- Grade: NCW
- HPO: Outside
- Comments: Email was only sent to NCID on the 30 January
- HO: The building retain the integrity of the original building fabric on the ground floor.- Ensure that the new first-storey level is sympathetic in terms of massing, roof form, and fenestration, maintaining a balanced visual relationship with the existing dwelling.- Avoid intrusive modifications to character-defining elements, thereby preserving the heritage value and streetscape context.
- additional information received 23 Feb - NCID and CoCT supports and better photographs of the streetscape.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

13.24 Erf 8892, Firwoods Farm, Paarl, S38(8)-Revised SDP

Case No.: 27087SB0119 / 19120617AS1213E / <https://sahris.org.za/node/388380>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: Amendment of an approved Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) and Site Development Plan (SDP) to accommodate the construction of an estate gatehouse within the approved agricultural forecourt at Firwoods Estate.
- Trigger: Section 38(8)
- Other: NEMA – Condition of Environmental Authorisation requiring submission and approval of the SDP, Landscape Plan and entrance gateway proposals by Heritage Western Cape.
- HIA Case Number: 19120617AS1213E (approved 27 October 2021).
- Grade: Cultural landscape and Firwoods farmstead: Grade IIIA.
- Gatehouse structure: Grade IIIB
- HPO: Outside
- Heritage Resource: Cultural landscape comprising historical farm werfs overlooking the Berg River. Firwoods farmstead and associated landscape setting. Visual corridor and approach to the historic werf.
- Archaeology: No new archaeological impacts anticipated. Standard condition applies that any historical midden material encountered within 50 m of the werf must be reported to HWC.
- Palaeontological: No palaeontological resources identified or impacted.
- Visual / Cultural: The gatehouse is located within the agricultural forecourt and set back approximately 60 m from the public road. Design retains a clear visual corridor towards the historic werf and does not deviate from the previously approved Visual Impact Assessment.
- Architectural treatment is recessive and sympathetic, including slender vertical elements, low-profile roof, use of on-site stone, and landscape mitigation (vineyards).
- Consultant Recommends:
- Endorsement of the amended SDP and gatehouse proposal as compliant with the approved HIA (Case No. 19120617AS1213E).
- Confirmation that the proposal satisfies the HIA condition requiring submission of entrance gateway and agricultural forecourt proposals to HWC.
- Approval subject to adherence to the approved plans and standard heritage chance-find conditions.
- The amended SDP – prepared by Nvorm dated 27 August 2025
- Since there is no reason to believe heritage will be impacted.

COMMENT

The Committee resolved to endorse S38(8) amended SDP as per the drawings numbered 0001 Rev A, dated 27 August 2025, and prepared by NVORM studio as being substantially in accordance with the previous HWC approval dated 29 July 2022.

SB

14. NEW MATTERS

- 14.1 Erf 1727, 46 Victoria Street, Robertson, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No.: 27457CH0218 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389603>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Additional streetscape photographs, Company Resolution for Mr. Louis Delgado to submit on behalf of Mount Moriah Architecture Pty Ltd and SG diagram

CH

- 14.2 Erf 177435, 12 Torquay Avenue, Claremont, S34-Minor Works**
Case No.: 27470CH0219 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389713>

CASE SUMMARY

- Not Graded outside HPO
- HWC previously issued a permit on 28 January 2026 (25957CH0106) for the replacement of the existing asbestos slate roof with fibre cement slate. The current application is a deviation application to replace the existing asbestos slate roof with Spanish slates as well as to replace the roof battens, insulation blanket and underlay.
- Work has started.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

- 14.3 Erf 3089, 36 Kensington Crescent, Gardens**
Case No.: 27460CH0218 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389610>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Streetscape photographs, CoCT comment, proof of correspondence with CIBRA noting that they did not comment within 30 days.

CH

- 14.4 Erf 42, 12 Peak Drive, Pinelands, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No.: 27461CH0218 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389614>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Graded IIIC inside HPO
- Proposal is for internal alterations (reconfiguring of the existing kitchen to a new location), external additions by adding a new deck and awning and opening up the kitchen and dining room spaces with new sliding doors into the garden and an additional double garage/gamesroom on the lateral boundary of the property.
- Work has not started.
- CoCT supports.
- PRRA supports.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

14.5 Farm 663, Meaker Road, Paarl, S34-Additions & Alterations

Case No.: 27279CH0219 / HWC25102307CH0219 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389777>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

DHF comment, Paarl300 Foundation comment, Company Resolution for Mr. Vincent Govender to sign on behalf of Klapprops 278 Pty Ltd and streetscape photographs.

CH

14.6 Portion 6 of Farm 586, Bouchard Finlayson Winery, Hermanus, S38(1)-NID

Case No.: 27481CH0220 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389783>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- S38(1) trigger - site larger than 5000sqm
- Current land use is a wine farm and surrounding land uses are agriculture and tourism.
- Proposal is to expand the existing private basement cellar with a covered outdoor braai area, expand the contemporary cellar building to accommodate a larger wine tasting area to the left of the cellar building and to consolidate the two contemporary cottages to form a larger house. A pedestrian pathway and landscaped area are proposed north of the main cellar building linking the building to the additional parking.
- Work has not started.
- The entire farm complex is graded IIC on the Overstrand Public Mapviewer however the survey notes for IIC grading only pertains to the rectangular cottages. These cottages are located closest to the Hemel en Aarde Road (R320). The proposed work is to the contemporary structures.
- The site is located within a very high palaeo sensitive area. A palaeontological statement was prepared by John Pether which stated that the default palaeontological sensitivities do not apply in this area and the construction of the new buildings primarily affects the soil developed on the deformed and weathered bedrock. The likelihood of the proposed work having an impact on palaeontological resources is negligible.
- No other heritage resources have been identified that would be impacted upon by the proposed additions and alterations.
- Heritage Consultant recommends NFS

COMMENT

The proposal does not trigger the NHRA. A letter of no trigger to be issued.

CH

14.7 Erf 10809, 46B Burg Street Wellington, S34-Minor Works

Case No.: 27486CN0220 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389809>

Ms Corne Alexander introduced the item.

Clive Theunissen was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Grading: Not graded
- HPO: Inside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: For a verandah and built-in braai behind the free-standing garage. The verandah does not touch the dwelling; however, it's technically touching the dwelling via the boundary wall that attaches to the garage.
- Heritage Considerations: No impacts to any heritage resources.

- DHAC confirmed in the meeting that the structure may not be older than 60 years.

HELD OVER:

The application is held over to the to determine if the building is older than 60 years.

CN

14.8 Erf 179489, 70 Alpine Road, Claremont, S34-Additions &Alterations

Case No: 27430CN0216 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389506>

CASE SUMMARY

- Grading: Not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: The proposal entails extensive additions and alterations to the existing single-storey dwelling. The works include the demolition of the existing veranda, portions of boundary walls and certain lean-to additions, the conversion of the existing garage into a laundry, and the conversion of the existing playroom into a main bedroom suite. New bedroom and living area extensions are proposed, together with a covered entrance, canopy slab, double carport and associated internal reconfiguration. The proposal further includes the construction of a new swimming pool with timber decking, a BBQ deck, water feature, alterations to the street boundary wall and gates (including a new motorised sliding driveway gate), stormwater upgrades, and associated landscaping and services infrastructure.
- Consultation:
 - CoCT notes the property to be graded NCW on their comment form and support the application.
 - GLCA has no objection
 - Heritage Considerations: The building is deemed low in heritage significance. The scale, form and massing remain consistent with the existing residential character of the area and no identified heritage resources are adversely affected.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

14.9 Erf 3991, 27 Main Road, Durbanville, S34-Minor Works

Case No: 27499CN0223 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389852>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Streetscape photos and confirmation if work has started required

CN

14.10 Erf 4665, 7A Botha Street, Paarl, S34- Additions &Alterations

Case No: 27443CN0217 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389556>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Correctly coloured up plans, Streetscape photos, DHF and Paarl 300 comments required

CN

- 14.11 Erven 583 & 570-RE, 28 & 26A Chiappini Street, Cape Town, S34-Total Demolition**
Case No: 27466CN0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389625>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

DWCA comments required

CN

- 14.12 Erf 6738, 73 Church Street, Riversdale, S34-Minor Works**
Case No: 27465CN0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389623>

Ms Corne Alexander introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Grading: Previous application in 2015 notes the building to be graded IIIB
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: 15012104GT0126E
- Proposal: For interior alterations in order to retrofit the Riversdale Radiology Unit.
- Heritage Considerations: No impacts anticipated by the proposed internal alterations to accommodate the internal retrofit.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

- 14.13 Erf 19641, 157 Kings Road, Brooklyn, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27492CSI0222 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389824>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Streetscape photos, applicant to confirm if CoCT's recommendations have been included in the proposal required.

CSI

- 14.14 Erf 53245, 25 Leicester Street, Claremont, S34 - Minor Works**
Case No: 27427CSI0216 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389487>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Streetscape photos required.

CSI

- 14.15 Erf 88456, 20 Jacobs Ladder, St James, S34 – Minor Works**
Case No: 27482CSI0220 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389787>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Additional streetscape photos, outstanding R4000 payment required.

CSI

- 14.16 Erf 981, 23 Musson Street, Hermanus, S34 - Minor Works**
Case No: 27493CSI0222 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389825>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Comment from Overstand Municipality, plans annotated with status of work required.

CSI

14.17 Erf 1727 & 538 Harbour Road, Gansbaai, S38(8) - NID
Case No: 27435CSI0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389525>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Trigger: S38(1)(c) Any development or activity that will change the character of a site -, (i) exceeding 5 000m² in extent;
- Proposed development: NEMA S24G application for the expansion of the abalone facility onto Erf 3819.
- Current zoning/land-use: Transport 3: Harbour Zone
- Built environment: No historical structures would have been impacted by the expansion
- Visual impacts: No visual impacts caused by the expansion and no mitigation required.
- Archaeology: HIA conducted by ProActive Archaeological Consultants for the development on Erf 3819 & Lot A of Erf 538 noted the following: "'GB1 is a remnant of a shell midden, associated with the production of OES beads. The presence of pottery places the midden within the last 2000 years. It is likely a hunter-gatherer site (based on OES bead evidence), though this cannot be said definitively as the presence of thick walled pottery indicates at least contact with herders. The site has a low local significance due to its lack of site integrity and the mitigation efforts reported here'"
- Palaeontology: Palaeo sensitivity according to the SAHRIS palaeo map is very high.

FINAL COMMENT

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources were not impacted.

CSI

14.18 Portion 6 of Farm 24, off Elandskloof Road, Villiersdorp, S38(8) - NID
Case No: 27451CSI0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389581>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Trigger: N/A
- Proposed development: Expansion of an existing dam from the storage capacity of 36 360m³ to 225 000m³. The expanded dam will be extended into an existing orchard.
- Current zoning/land-use: Agriculture
- Built environment: No impacts expected
- Visual impacts: None expected
- Archaeology: No archaeological resources were identified.
- Palaeontology: Palaeo sensitivity on the SAHRIS palaeo map is very high. No impacts expected considering the agricultural nature of the land (existing orchard).

COMMENT

The proposal does not trigger the NHRA in terms of Section 38(1). A letter of no trigger to be issued.

CSI

14.19 Erf 1424, Ellerslie/Tafelberg High School, 353 Main Road, Sea Point, S38(1) - HIA
Case No: HWC24020910EJV0218

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the matter to IACom on 11 March 2026 due to the objections received and the mitigation required.

EJV

14.20 Farm 135 Portion 74 and Farm 163 Portion 2, Thornvilla Farm, Langverwagten Road, McGregor, S38(8) – NID

Case No: 27452EJV0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389588>

Chiara Singh introduced the item on behalf of Emily-Jane Vowles.

DISCUSSION

- Not Graded
- Outside HPO
- Work has not started
- Triggers s38(1)(c)(i).
- The proposal is to expand the netted cultivation activities on site by 72ha following the loss of vineyards and orchards during the 2023 flood as well as to establish a tourism facility on the bank of the existing in-stream dam inclusive of camp clusters, stilted cabins, and ablutions. The area proposed for development has not been previously cultivated and significant SA archaeology has been identified in similar contexts in McGregor and Robertson. The SAHRIS Palaeo-Map indicates low, moderate, and extensive areas of very high sensitivity. The area is underlain by highly sensitive geology inclusive of the Tra-tra Formation and Boplaas Formation resulting in the farm being colloquially called "Fossil Hills". No negative impact to the cultural landscape is anticipated as the proposed activities align with existing activities in the immediate context of the subject property.
- Consultant recommends an HIA with a AIA and PIA

INTERIM COMMENT

The Committee resolved to require a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) which complies with S38(3) of the NHRA, and which includes the following:

1. Archaeological Impact Assessment
2. Palaeontological Impact Assessment

EJV

14.21 Erf 2946, N.G. Moederkerk, 16 Church Street, Mossel Bay, S34 – Minor Works

Case No: 27438EJV0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389549>

Chiara Singh introduced the item on behalf of Emily-Jane Vowles.

DISCUSSION

- Not Graded
- Outside HPO
- Work has started
- The proposal is to erect a stretch tent over the paved sections immediately east of the Gemeentehuis structure and a freestanding braai. The tent will be comprised of "tensile fabric roof per specialist specifications fixed to wall with brackets and support columns all strictly to specialist specifications".
- The work currently underway on site includes restoration of the windows and repainting and plastering of the facades which has been some what rudimentarily reflected on the revised plans.

COMMENT

HWC does not condone unauthorised work. The committee resolved to issue a Section 51 letter, as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

For the above formal response to be issued, the applicant must first provide a proof of payment with the correct reference number indicating the correct amount having been paid as per the Provincial Notice 73/2025 in the Provincial Gazette Extraordinary No. 9088, dated 18 June 2025.

EJV

14.22 Erf 53851, 24 Harfield Road, Claremont, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27471EJV0219 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389716>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

CoCT comment on the 2026 application required.

EJV

14.23 Multiple Farms & Erven, Kuduskop Grid Connection Infrastructure, Kuduskop WEF, Sutherland and Matjiesfontein, S38(8) - HIA

Case No: HWC24121018EJV0114 / 27487EJV0220 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389814>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

The results of consultation required.

EJV

14.24 Erf 2226, 73 10th Street, Voelklip, Hermanus, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27498EJV0223 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389846>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Plan to show work completed as distinct from work proposed required

EJV

14.25 Erf 1019-RE, Unit 209, Bordeaux Hotel, 239 Beach Road, Sea Point, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27424RB0216 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389482>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Coloured up to scale plan with dimension showing the proposed work as coloured up and external photographs clearly showing the apartment block's façade required.

RB

14.26 Erf 31894-RE, Crassula Street, Langerman Avenue, & Zastron Road, Milnerton, S38(1) - NID

Case No: 27459RB0218 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389609>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Authority letter for Nasif Damon to sign obo the CoCT and Title Deed information required.

RB

14.27 Erven 1115-RE, 1608,1901, 1905, 1963, 2908, & 321-RE, Pinelands, S38(1) - NID

Case No: 27462RB0218 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389615>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Authority letter for Nasif Damon to sign obo the CoCT and Title Deed information required.

RB

14.28 Erven 1129, Schaapkraal, 1210-RE & 21733-RE, Michells Plain, & Farm 815, off M7, Mitchells Plain, S38(1) - NID

Case No: 27441RB0217 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/389554>

Mr Ruan Brand introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- This forms part of the S38(8), 2018 approved Cape Flats Aquifer (CFA) Project.
- Part of the DEA&DP approval was a condition in the HIA that the project would happen in phases and have many changes, and that the 'Phase 2' applications would require submission to HWC. Note that the applicant has not uploaded a POA or consent from the CoCT as they argue the project is already approved and this submission is made in terms of adherence to the previous approval.

- To date, HWC has evaluated 10 separate NID submissions for 'Phase 2' of this project, all of which were responded to with NFS as they were all in adherence to the original HIA.
- These projects included pipelines, MAR pipelines, fencing, security, and boreholes and the Hanover Park/Phillipi Water Treatment Works (last one being a CSI case approved in 2024).
- The HWC endorsed 2018 HIA makes provisions for guidelines for the different scopes of the project.
- For WTW plants, the following mitigation measures were endorsed:
- These should be enclosed by visually permeable, dark-coloured fencing and integrated with the surrounding landscape through planting and other appropriate soft edge treatments as can be advised by a landscape architect (this could be an internal City-employed landscape architect); Tree planting in spaces within the enclosed areas (and outside if necessary) is encouraged as a way of breaking up the visual bulk of the water tanks;
- Built elements may not exceed one story and must be made to look rural in nature;
- Paved areas should be minimised with consideration given to the use of permeable grass block pavers, edged gravel, exposed aggregate or other surfaces appropriate to a rural setting;
- The maximum height of the water tanks should be kept as low as is feasible, possibly even by sinking the base into the ground slightly;
- The tanks do not need to be painted because this can result in the need for maintenance. Without maintenance visual impacts could increase. Natural weathering of the metal will occur with time and reduce the visual contrast; and
- If any archaeological material, palaeontological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist or palaeontologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.
- The proposal in front of HWC today is for the Mitchells Plain Water Treatment Works (WTW).
- The project scope is mostly in adherence to the conditions of the HWC endorsed 2018 HIA except for the height, which is taller than the other WTW that have been proposed as part of the project and is taller than the single storey allowance of the endorsed HIA.
- The applicant has submitted a basic visual screener in which the only sensitive heritage corridor is identified as stemming from Baden Powell Drive.
- This impact will be mitigated by sensitive architectural design and appropriate planting but, importantly, the structure will be shielded from view from Baden Powell Drive by the large dune cordon that lies just southwest of the WTW site.
- Due to this and the distance from Baden Powell Drive (1.5km), no visual impacts to this scenic route are expected.
- The WTW will also be used for education purposes.
- It is also noted that wetlands were not envisaged as part of the project in 2018, but these are deemed to be a benefit due to their visual amenity and high educational value in the context of the project.
- It is further noted that the significant PHA landscape (considered Grade II/IIIA in the HIA) is mapped by the City of Cape Town (proposed heritage area in their 2018 MSDF) and in the HIA as ending immediately north of the project site.
- The proposed WTW will thus not fall within this high sensitivity landscape but will be immediately adjacent to it.
- The minimal impacts to the landscape are outweighed by the considerable socio-economic benefit that will result from the broader CFA project (see original HIA for details) and which, for this component, includes a strong educational aspect. Relative to these socio-economic benefits, the visual impacts are not considered significant here.
- The second aspect is the potential impact on fossils which is a consideration over much of the wider area. Due to the uncertainty associated with this potential impact, any fossils found would be treated as chance finds. However, a proactive measure has been taken with palaeontological monitoring (under a Workplan which will be submitted in due course) proposed to be undertaken during construction as has occurred for other phases of the wider project. In this way any chance finds can be rescued, and a positive impact (benefit) may result.

DECISION

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon.

RB

14.29 Erf 12843, 3A Fourie Street, Hermanus, S34-Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27485SB0220 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389795>

DISCUSSION

- Proposal: new addition to the northeast section of the house, changes to doors and windows and internal walls. Additionally, a large portion of the roof will change.
- Work start: No
- Grade: Not graded
- HPO: outside
- Comments: OHAC supports

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

14.30 Erf 4074, 31 Meul Street, Caledon, S34-Minor Works

Case No: 27483SB0220 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389789>

CASE SUMMARY

- Proposal: cladding of the cheek and faces of the rear roof dormers
- Work start: no
- Grade: not graded
- HPO: outside

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

14.31 Erf 43901, 83 - 85 Bergriver Boulevard, Paarl, S34-Alteration & demolition

Case No: 27507SB0223 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389873>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

Clive Theunissen was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Proposal: demolition of building to develop a new apartment building.
- Work start: yes, as per previous permit. (20081706ND0907E & HWC231000217CN1002)
- Grade: not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Comments: Municipality latest comment (13 Feb 2026) – has no objections, DHF objects has not as aesthetically appealing as the previous and does not fit in the area due to the material use on the façade. Paarl300 did not provide a comment within 30 days
- Background: LUMs was approved and granted February 2022, there was condition to the approval that stated the development needed to align with the approved SDP. There was a previous

demolition permit from HWC (20081706ND0907E) dated 2 Oct 2020 and 7 Nov 2023 (CN was the case officer for that extension permit – HWC231000217CN1002). The initial submission was not approved by DHAC and DHF due to concerns regarding deviation from the original approved SDP, lack of a clear solid base, inadequate parking screening, inappropriate fenestration proportions, insufficient boundary articulation and permeability, use of timber screening, signage placement, and overall aesthetic compatibility.

- In response, the proposal was revised to align more closely with the original SDP, incorporating mono-pitched roofs, red/raw brick detailing to define a solid base, improved parking screening (roller shutter and landscaped permeable boundary), compliant boundary articulation (40% permeability), removal of timber screens, and relocation of signage to the building entrance. The revised design also reinstates varied façade materials to better reflect the original planning approval and respond to the surrounding context.
- Additional information received 26 Feb - Paarl300 does not support stating that the new plans deviate substantially from approved.
- No previous condition on the existing Total Demolition permit, the committee required clarification on the application type as the committee cannot comment on replacement structure.

HELD OVER

The application is held over to the Heritage Officers' Meeting (HOMs) on 9 March 2026 due to clarity needed on what is being submitted.

SB

14.32 Erf 6397, 32 Church Street, Mossel Bay, S34-Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27431SB0216 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389517>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Mossel Bay Municipality comment, elevations to be coloured up, streetscape photographs and confirmation from applicant if the work is completed, methodology required.

SB

14.33 Erven 848, 849 and 850 2,4 & 6 Windell Street, Durbanville, S38(1)-NID

Case No: 27450SB0217 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389579>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: Rezoning from Residential Zone 1 to General Residential Zone 1 to develop 21 duplex (Group Housing) units.
- previous NID for Erven 848, 849, 850 – NFS, developer has decided to no go with this development instead changed to scaled down General Residential 1 (Group Housing) development consisting of 21 duplex units.
- Trigger: 38(1)(c)(ii) – Development involving three or more erven; change in character of site.
- Other: no
- Grade:
- Erf 848 – Grade IIIC (CoCT).
- Erf 850 – older than 60 years, ungraded (insufficient significance).
- Erf 849 – vacant (previous structure demolished).
- HPO:
- Outside
- Heritage resource:
- One Grade IIIC dwelling (Erf 848) to be subject to separate Section 34 demolition permit. Area not considered a heritage resource; streetscape substantially transformed.
- Archaeology:
- No known archaeological resources identified.

- Palaeontological:
- No palaeontological sensitivity identified.
- Visual/Cultural:
- Area characterised by ongoing densification (GR and apartment developments); proposal consistent with surrounding context and Northern District Plan; no negative heritage impact anticipated.
- Consultant recommendations: NFS with demolition permit for Erf 848

DECISION

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon. Section 34 permit required for the demolition of the structure on site Erf 848.

SB

- 14.34 Farm 3/129 Uitnood: Robertson, Farm RE/127 Annex Goedemoed: Robertson, Farm 1/127 Annex Goedemoed: Robertson, Farm RE/128 Goedemoed: Robertson, Farm 94/128 Goedemoed, Off the DR01357, Robertson, S38(8)-NID**
Case No: 27472SB0219 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389719>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: Expansion of agricultural operations through clearance of indigenous vegetation and development of 90.6 ha of new vineyards (including internal roads and irrigation infrastructure) on Farms RE/127, 1/127, 3/129, RE/128 and 94/128 near Robertson.
- Trigger: Section 38(8) (NEMA application required).
- Section 38(1)(a) – Linear development >300m (pipelines/roads).
- Section 38(1)(c)(i) – Development exceeding 5 000m².
- Section 38(1)(c)(ii) – Involving three or more erven.
- Other: NEMA (DEA&DP competent authority).
- Grade:
- Archaeological material: NCW (low significance).
- Vernacular structure (shepherd's dwelling): IIIC/NCW (not impacted).
- Goedemoed werf (3 km away): possible IIIA – will not impacted.
- HPO: Outside however site located within the Winelands Cultural Landscape (Robertson Valley).
- Heritage resource: Cultural landscape (agricultural/winelands context); scattered ESA/MSA lithics in secondary context; no graves identified; no significant built heritage within development footprint.
- Archaeology: Widespread low-density ESA/MSA surface artefacts (quartzite flakes/cores), unstratified and in disturbed contexts; graded NCW; no LSA material identified; low impact anticipated. Screen tool confirms with low archaeological sensitivity.
- Palaeontological: SAHRIS mapping indicates very high sensitivity (Bokkeveld Group). Marion Bamford recommended that site be visited by palaeontologist. Site inspection by Dr J. Almond (2026) found low bedrock exposure and no fossil sites; impacts assessed as Low. Chance Fossil Finds Protocol recommended.
- Visual/Cultural: Development consistent with established agricultural character of the Robertson Valley; no significant cultural landscape impacts anticipated.
- Consultant recommends: no further heritage studies necessary with Chance Fossil Finds Protocol during construction.

FINAL COMMENT

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon.

The HWC Chance Finds Procedure and Accidental Finds protocol must be included in any form of EMPr and/or potential Environmental Authorisation.

SB

14.35 Erf 3488, The Kingsley, Simons Town, S38(8)-HIA
Case No.: 18070629SB1213E

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the application to IACom on 11 March 2026 due to delegation.

SB

14.36 Erf 1125, Section 55, Beach Court, 1 London Road, Sea Point, S34-Alterations
Case No: 27453SVB0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389591>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Body corporate resolution, local authority comments, Sea Point, Fresnaye & Bantry Bay Ratepayers & Residents' Association comment, POP, formal response to objections raised required.

SVB

14.37 Erf 3326, 17 St Quintons Road, Oranjezicht, S34-Deviation
Case No: 27473SVB0219 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389737>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 25 February 2026.
- The property is graded IIIC/NCW and within the Upper Table Valley HPOZ.
- The previous 2016 permit for total demolition has been acted on and the structures have been demolished.
- On 07 March 2022 HOMs resolved to approve the revised replacement structure after a previous HWC approval for total demolition and replacement structure (16101307AS1031M). The proposal is for deviations from this previously approved replacement structure.
- City of Cape Town were consulted, but they did not provide a comment.
- CTHF were consulted but they did not respond.
- CIBRA support the proposal.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

14.38 Erf 47183-RE, Rondebosch Boys' High School, 17 Canigou Avenue, Rondebosch, S34-Additions
Case No: 27501SVB0223 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389862>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

POA, resolution, Greater Lynfrae Civic Association comment required.

SVB

14.39 Erf 56442, 31 Upper Sidmouth Avenue, Bishopscourt, S34-Total Demolition
Case No: 27491SVB0222 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389821>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

A site plan indicating the proposed demolition.

SVB

14.40 Erf 97271, 7 Avalon Road, Claremont, S34-Additions, Alterations & Partial Demolition
Case No: HWC25112017SVB0217 / 27437SVB0217 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389547>

CASE SUMMARY

- The property is graded IIIC/NCW and outside of any HPO.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for a double storey addition to the rear of the site, as well as a bedroom addition to the rear, a new deck, a pool and a new carport towards the front of the house.
- GLCA do not object to the proposal.
- CoCT support the proposal.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

- 14.41 Erf 20718, 15 Vasco Street, Brooklyn, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27458SVB0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389608>

COMMENT

As per the Provincial Notice 9051 of 2025 regarding NCW structures within the City of Cape Town Metropolitan, the application is exempt from the need to obtain a permit in terms of Section 34 of the NHRA.

SVB

15. Other Matters

16. Adoption of Resolutions and Decisions:

SVB moves to adopt and RB seconds the adoption of resolutions and decisions of the meeting of 2 March 2026.

17. Closure: The chairperson, Ms Chane Herman, officially closed the meeting at 12H02

18. Date of next meeting: 9 March 2026

MINUTES APPROVED AND SIGNED BY:

CHAIR: _____

SECRETARY: _____

Annexure A – HWC: Heritage Officers’ Meetings

Abbreviations

APHP - Association of Professional Heritage Practitioners

AHP - Agulhas Heritage Society

BKCA - Bo-Kaap Civic Association

BKYM - Bo-Kaap Youth Movement

CIBRA - City Bowl Ratepayers ' & Residents Association

CoCT – City of Cape Town

CTHT – Cape Town Heritage Trust

CRM - Cultural Resources Management

DRHCA - De Rust Heritage Conservation Association

Docomomo South Africa

DHS -Durbanville Heritage Society

EHM – Environmental Heritage Management department

FoBCA- Friends of Blaauwberg Conservation Area

FE - Friends of Elim

GLCA- Greater Lynfræ Civic Association

GPRRA - Green Point Ratepayers & Residents Association

HVA- Harfield Village Association

HMB - Heritage Mossel Bay

HVTA - Hex Valley Tourism Association

HBRRA - Hout Bay & Ratepayers and Residents Association

HIA - Heritage Impact Assessment

ILASA - Institute for Landscape Architecture in South Africa

KBHA - Kalk Bay Historical Association

KBSJRR - Kalk bay-St James Ratepayers & Residents Association

LKID - Lower Kenilworth Improvement District

MHCS - Muizenberg Historical Conservation Society

NCW – Not Conservation Worthly

NEMA - National Environmental Management Act (No. 107 of 1998)

NID - Notification of Intent to Develop

NCOA - Noetzie Conservancy Owners Association

OH - Oudtshoorn Heritage

Paarl300 Foundation

PRRA - Pinelands Ratepayers & Residents Association

PACF - Prince Albert Cultural Foundation

SAHRA - South African Heritage Resources Agency

SRHS - Salt River Heritage Society

SFB - Sea Point, Fresnaye & Bantry Bay Ratepayers & Residents Association

SvDSF - Simon van der Stel Foundation Cape Town

STHS - Simon's Town Historical Society

SHF - Stellenbosch Heritage Foundation

SHCT - Stillbaai Heritage Conservation Trust

GHT - George Heritage Trust

RVRA- Rustenburg Valley Residents Association

TRHCS - Touws River Heritage and Conservation Society

VASSA - Vernacular Architecture Society of South Africa

WUECAG - Walmer/University Estate Conservation Action Group

WCAC - West Coast Aboriginal Council

WAAC - Woodstock Aesthetic Advisory Committee

WHCS - Worcester Heritage & Conservation Society

WRRRA - Wynberg Residents and Ratepayers Association

ASAPA - Association of Southern African Professional Archaeologists

ABHC - Associated Bibeys Hoek Conservancy (Knysna)

BELCOM - Built Environment and Landscapes Committee

CBCRA -Camps Bay Clifton Ratepayers Association

CSDBOA - Clifton-On-Sea & District Bungalow Owners Association

CTHF - Cape Town Heritage Foundation

CRRRA - Constantia Ratepayers & Residents Associations

CMP – Conservation Management Plan

DWCA - De Waterkant Civic Association

DHF - Drakenstein of Heritage Foundation

EMPr - Environmental Management Program

FHRA -Franschhoek Heritage and Ratepayer Association

FoCVGB - Friends of Constantia Valley Green Belts

GBRM - Great Brak River Museum

GVHAG- Greater Vredehoek Heritage Action Group

GCS - Greyton Conservation Society

HRF - Helderberg Renaissance Foundation

HOMs - Heritage Officers Meeting

HPO – Heritage protects overlay.

HWC – Heritage Western Cape

IACom - Impact Assessment Committee

IGIC - Inventories, Gradings and Interpretations Committee

KHS - Kommetjie Heritage Society

MGHS - McGregor Heritage Society

NHC - Napier Heritage & Conservation

NASDB - Nelspoort Agency of Sustainable Development body

NHRA - National Heritage Resources Act (No. 25) of 1999

NRA - Newlands Residents Association

OCA - Observatory Civic Association

OHAC - Overstrand Heritage and Aesthetics Committee

PPP - Public Participation Process

PHCT - Pniel Heritage and Cultural Trust

RMCA - Rosebank and Mowbray Planning & Architectural Committee

SAHRIS - South African Heritage Resources Information System

SPFA - Sea Point for All Group

SHC - Stanford Conservation Trust & Stanford Heritage Committee

SIG - Stellenbosch Interest Group

SHA - Swellendam Heritage Association

OWVS - Old Wynberg Village Society

TVHF - Tulbagh Valley Heritage Foundation

UCRRA- Upper Claremont and Residents and Ratepayers Association

WERRA - Walmer Estate Ratepayers and Residents Association

Ward 2 Forum

WCC- Whale Coast Conservation

WRA - Woodstock Residents Association

WECA - Wynberg East Civic Association