

**APPROVED MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE,
HERITAGE OFFICERS' MEETING
Held on Monday, 13 April 2026 at 08:30 via MS Teams**



1. Opening and Welcome

The Chairperson, Ms Chane Herman, officially opened the meeting at 08:30 and welcomed everyone present.

2. Attendance

Members

Ms. Chane Herman	Chairperson, Specialist Heritage Officer	CH
Ms. Corne Alexander	Specialist Heritage Officer	CN
Ms. Stephanie Barnardt-Delport	Specialist Heritage Officer	SB
Ms. Chiara Singh	Heritage Officer	CSI
Ms. Sofia Briel	Heritage Officer	SVB
Ms. Xola Mlwandle	Heritage Officer	XM

Staff

Ms. Aneeqah Brown	Secretariat	AB
Ms. Waseefa Dhansay	Assistant Director	WD
Ms. Nosiphiwo Tafeni	I&AP	NT
Mr. Olwethu Dlova	Backup Secretariat	OD

Legal Advisor

None

Observers

Visitors

	Capacity	Item
Ebrahim Christian	BKRRRA	13.11
Anees Abbas	Owner	13.11
Doron Goldberg	Neighbour	14.31
Johan Malherbe	MRA Architects	14.27
Nicolene Visser	MRA Architects	14.27
Lenize Gerber	WHF chairperson	14.8
Mandri Viljoen	Rep applicant	14.8
Yo Ann Louw Harmse	I&AP	14.16
Gys le Roux	Applicant	13.7
Nick Smith	I&AP	14.29

3. Apologies

Mr. Ruan Brand	Specialist Heritage Officer	RB
Emily Jane Vowles	Heritage Officer	EJV
Ms. Penelope Meyer	Deputy Director	PM

Absent

None.

4. Acceptance of the Code of Conduct

Members and staff raised their hands on the MS Teams platform to indicate acceptance of the Code of Conduct.

5. **Approval of Agenda**

The Committee resolved to approve the agenda of 13 April 2026 with the following additions:
CSI moved to adopt the agenda and SVB seconded.

6. **Approval of Minutes of the Previous Meeting**

6.1. Held over to the HOMs meeting on 20 April 2026.

7. **Disclosure of conflict of interest**

7.1. None.

8. **Confidential matters**

None.

9. **Standing Items**

9.1. **Site inspections undertaken**

9.1.1 None.

9.2 **Proposed Site Inspections**

Matters Arising: 13.7

New Matters: 14.29

9.3 **Site Inspection Reports**

9.3.1 None.

9.4 **Preparation for upcoming Committee meetings**

9.4.1 IACom (15 April 2026)

9.4.2 BELCom (22 April 2026)

9.5 **Tribunal Updates (Legal)**

9.5.1 None.

9.6 **Interim and Close-Out Reports**

9.6.1 Erf 754, 8 Cheviot Place, Green Point (HWC23061909SB0826) (SB)

- Final close out report, work is in line with the mitigation agreement dated 31 July 2024.
- Ceiling was damaged as cease work but has restored
- Street façade reinstated with all mouldings
- Front door restored
- All original mouldings intact
- Floors were replaced with Oregon pine – this was concern previously as the floorboards was infest with Bettles, looks like they could not save the original.
- Both fireplaces restored.
- Attic stable and complete, this was a previous concern as well.
- All work in accordance with the approved plans.

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the matter to BELCom on 22 April 2026 due to matter been previous at BELCom.

9.6.2 Erf 1247, 43 Regent Road, Sea Point (HWC24110659SJ1106 & HWC25111707SJ1119) (SVB)

- On 18 November 2024 an Emergency Work permit (HWC24110659SJ1106) for demolition and reconstruction of the colonnade and canopy was approved by HWC with the following conditions:
 - 1. Work to be done strictly in accordance with the HWC stamped plans.

- 2. A revised SDP set indicating the reconstructed colonnade within the approved development must be submitted to HWC within three months of the date of this decision.
- On 26 November 2025 an Emergency Work permit (HWC25111707SJ1119) for demolition and reconstruction of the vaulted garage structure was approved by HWC with the following conditions:
 - 1. Work to be done strictly in accordance with the HWC stamped plans.
 - 2. A close-out report compiled by a suitably qualified heritage consultant, must be submitted to HWC within 30 days of practical completion. The close-out report must focus on the heritage elements retained within the approved development.
 - 3. A revised SDP set indicating the reconstructed vaulted garage facade within the approved development, must be submitted to HWC within 30 days of practical completion.
- In fulfilment of condition 2 of the 2024 permit and condition 3 of the 2025 permit the applicant has submitted a revised SDP set indicating the reconstructed colonnade and the reconstructed vaulted garage façade within the approved development.
- The close-out report stipulated under condition 2 of the 2025 permit is still pending. The outstanding close-out report has been brought to the applicant's attention to ensure compliance with the permit and will be tabled at HOMs once it has been received.

FOR NOTING

The committee noted the revised SDP set and await submission of the close-out report.

9.7 Incomplete Applications

9.7.1 Matters Arising: 13.27, 13.16

9.7.2 New Matters: 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5, 14.9, 14.10, 14.11, 14.13, 14.14, 14.15, 14.20, 14.21, 14.22, 14.23, 14.24, 14.25, 14.28, 14.31, 14.32, 14.33, 14.36, 14.38, 14.41, 14.42 and 14.43

9.8 Decisions taken under ASD delegation

9.8.1 Matters Arising: 13.1, 13.2, 13.4, 13.5, 13.9, 13.10, 13.12, 13.20, 13.24, 13.25, 13.26 and 13.28

9.8.2 New Matters: 14.35

9.9 Referrals to Committees

9.9.1 Matters Arising: 13.3 (IACom)

9.9.2 New Matters: 14.12 (IACom) and 14.16 (IACom)

9.10 Exemption Notice 9051 of 2025: NCW graded within CoCT

9.10.1 Matter Arising: None

9.10.2 New Matters: None

9.11 Archaeological Matters

9.11.1 None.

9.12 Illegal Works Database: Stop Works Orders issued

None.

9.13 Permit deadline:

COB Tuesday, 14 April 2026

9.14 SAHRIS rollout feedback (RB)

None.

10. Administrative Matters

- 10.1.** Erf 232, Beach Road, Gordon's Bay (HWC24022305SB0319) SB
- A stop works order was issued last year, there was work that start, unauthorised work.
 - There was an application for the connection from garage to the roof/loft area of the house.
 - BELCom was concerned about the roof and the intersection.
 - There were previous requirements and still to date, no attempt has been made to met those requirements.
 - The last contact I received from the owner was a meeting with him and the architect, detailed discussion about the requirements and what is required to address them, specifically the revised plans that show the details of the roof intersection between the garage and the main dwelling.
 - Applicant is not responding to an email from myself or the City of Cape Town. Need committee input and away forward.

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the matter to BELCom on 27 May 2026 due to applicant not meeting the further requirements and not responding to HWC or City of Cape Town.

- 10.2.** Erf 9013, 29 Morgenster Avenue, Somerset West
Case No: HWC24092511CSI1610

HELD OVER

Matter held over to 20 April 2026 due to input required from HWC's legal advisor.

11. Monitoring by practitioner

- 11.1.** None.

12. Discussion of the Agenda

- 12.1.** Items 13.13 (boundary wall), 13.14 (boundary wall) and 14.1 (signage) – internal photographs

MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED

13. MATTERS ARISING

- 13.1.** Erf 1365, 9 Louis Tricart Street, Briza, Somerset West, Somerset West, S34-Total Demolition
Case No: 27647CH0304 <https://sahris.org.za/node/390280>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received on 12, 18, 19 and 25 March 2026.
- Not Graded outside HPO
- Proposal is to demolish the remaining structure that was damaged by a fire in April 2025.
- Work has not started.
- CoCT declined to comment on the proposal.
- HRF supports.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

- 13.2.** Erf 420, 5 Fourie Street, Hermanus, S34-Minor Works

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received on 2 April 2026.
- Not Graded outside HPO
- Proposal is for internal alterations, splash pool, replacing windows and doors, alterations to the covered stoep, pergola and carport next to the existing garage.
- Work applied for has already been completed.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to issue a S51 letter as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

CH

13.3. Erf 8709, Via Main Road, St Peter's La Roche, Paarl, S38(8)-HIA
Case No: 26716CH1118 <https://sahris.org.za/node/387287>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 26 March 2026.
- Proposal for a mixed used development comprising of 4 portions. A school is proposed on portion 1 (0.65ha - this is an expansion of the currently applied development of school which is in the process of HIA – SAHRIS case 25722). Portion 2 will consist of housing units (8.5ha). Portion 3 will consist of apartments (0.7ha). Portion 4 will be mixed use. The site will also be subdivided and rezoned.
- The current land use is agricultural and a temporary school. The farm is no longer used for agricultural purposes.
- The site is within the urban edge of Paarl according to the Drakenstein SDF (2022 -2027) and is earmarked for urban infill development. The site is location within the proposed Paarl Heritage Overlay Zone (HPO) and within a proposed grade II cultural landscape.
- HOMs on 1 December 2025 requested an HIA with a townscape assessment, visual assessment on the cultural landscape and for any relevant and associated HIAs and findings in the past to be included and referenced in the HIA.
- Previous applications:
 - (1) NID was tabled at HOMs on 18 July 2022 for the proposed mixed-use development. HOMs resolved to request an HIA with a AIA, BEA and VIA on the CL. Note: this HIA has not yet been submitted to HWC. The previously requested HIA was queried with the applicant and he responded stating that the scope (extent) and context (now located within the urban edge) is different to the 2022 application. The previous HIA was done and the RNID has to be withdrawn.
 - (2) NID application for a proposed school. HOMs requested a HIA with a VIA. HIA was tabled at HOMs on 10 November 2025 (case no.: 383596CN1030; <https://sahris.org.za/node/383596>) to rezone the site from Agriculture Zone to Community Use Zone and for the development of a school. This application was withdrawn on 23 March 2026.
- In March 2026, HWC (IACom) granted permission in the form of a signed agreement between the parties for the temporary school structures (containers) to be reallocated to another site on the same farm. The S24G process is for the temporary school structures currently on site and will not affect the HIA process or application.
- **HIA findings:**
Townscape Assessment
The Townscape Assessment/ Analysis was integrated into the HIA in Section 8.6. The study assesses the urban morphology and spatial growth of Paarl North whilst considering the revised urban edge designation and planning intent of the proposed development.

- Visual Impact Assessment on the Cultural Landscape. prepared by ARLA and Antoniette de Beer.
The visually sensitive areas and resources include the Berg River and its associated flood plain; the Paarl Mountain and the associated nature reserve and the cultivated/agricultural landscape in the vicinity of the site.
The visually sensitive receptors include the St Peters Roche werf and farmstead (PHS); Main Road/ Noord-Agter Paarl Road, R45 and Old Malmesbury Road; residential neighbourhoods in the vicinity and schools in the vicinity.
Various viewpoints were tested in terms of visual exposure and visual intrusion in section 7 of the VIA. The overall proposed layout was assessed as moderate without mitigation.
The visual mitigation measures are proposed on pages 61 -67 and these measures relate to change from rural landscape to mixed use development, visual intrusion on scenic routes and visual routes, visibility from sensitive areas and receptors and night light.
- The HIA submission also includes a landscape guideline for Erf 8709, Paarl.
- A desktop archaeological study was prepared by ACRM in September 2022:
The findings of this study states that early stone age resources are likely to be encountered, most likely brought to site by ploughing activities and therefore entirely out of context. The area identified for the proposed mixed-use development does not appear to be a sensitive archaeological landscape. ESA tools will almost certainly be encountered in the development area i.e., the old vineyards and are will not likely require further mitigation. The recommendation of this study was that no archaeological mitigation is required
- The HIA recommends the following:
- Endorses this report as having met the requirements of Section 38(3) of the NHRA
- In terms of Section 38(8) of the NHRA, supports the proposed Development Plan subject to the mitigation measures set out in Sections 9.2 and 11 in the report.
- **I&AP comments:**
- DHAC notes that the werf is considered a proposed grade II heritage resource. DHAC does not support the residential development from a heritage point of view further stating that the following must be provided:
 - The applicant must consult HWC regarding Section 38 of the NHRA and the response to HWC must be provided.
 - The alignment and structure of the proposed boulevard extension has not been confirmed and remains uncertain. This alignment and arrangement must be confirmed.
 - Clarity must be provided regarding the future use of the original werf and any proposed alterations or development.
 - The density and scale of the development must be reduced and graded towards the northern and eastern boundaries.
 - Appropriate landscape buffers must be introduced towards the northern and eastern boundaries to assist with transition from the urban to the rural environment.
 - All landscaping must continue the planting patterns of the rural landscape.
 - The internal landscaping must incorporate tree lines to break up the development and assist with the transition towards the rural environment.
 - Avoid suburban or urban typologies in terms of the proposed gate houses, boundary conditions and built form edges.
 - A permeable fence must be introduced along all visible boundaries.
 - A detailed landscaping plan and landscape maintenance plan must be provided at building plan stage for approval.
- DHF supports.
- Paarl300 Foundation supports.

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the matter to IACom on 20 May 2026 due to the objections received and visual mitigation recommendations.

- 13.4. Erf 90, 44 Main Road, Gansbaai, S34-Minor Works**
Case No.: 27684CH0306 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/390373>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received on 23 March 2026.
- Not Graded outside HPO
- Proposal is to relocate the shopfront entrance door, implement minor modifications to the shopfront and remove the existing planter.
- Work has not started.
- Previous application:
 - S34 permit (HWC25091712CH0918) issued for internal alterations.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

- 13.5. Erf 4665, 7A Botha Street, Paarl, S34-A&A**
Case No: 27443CN0217 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389556>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information was requested for correctly coloured up plans, Streetscape photos, DHF and Paarl 300 comments - received 30 March.
- Grading: Not graded
- HPO: Outside
- Status of Work: Not started
- Previous Applications: None
- Proposal: The proposal consists of the demolition of selected existing portions of the dwelling and the construction of new ground and first floor additions to create an enlarged, two-storey dwelling.
- Consultation:
 - DHAC has no objection
 - Paarl 300 supports
 - DHF as no objection
- Heritage Considerations: No impacts

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CN

- 13.6. Erf 58036, 6 Thelma Road, Claremont, S34 – Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27769CSI0311 <https://sahris.org.za/node/390786>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received 7 April for annotated plans indicating completed work and UCRRA comment.
- Work applied for has started
- Previous approval: 18080301TZ0814E for total demolition but the property was sold and has a new owner.
- Graded IIIC/4 - NCW according to CoCT comment
- Outside HPO
- Proposed work is for internal alterations, conversion of the garage into maid's quarters, and raising the garage roof by 400mm
- CoCT provided comment and support the application. The official might have misread or misunderstood the application because they stated that the demolition is supported, the application is for ads and alts which they recognised, ""The application is for alterations and additions. Building is older than 60 years, not conservation worthy and outside any HPOZ. The existing building is not worthy of retention in its current form and demolition is therefore supported. HRS has no objection.""
- UCRRA provided comment and support the application.

COMMENT

HWC does not condone unauthorised work. The committee resolved to issue a Section 51 letter, as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

For the above formal response to be issued, the applicant must first provide a proof of payment with the correct reference number indicating the correct amount having been paid as per the Provincial Notice 73/2025 in the Provincial Gazette Extraordinary No. 9088, dated 18 June 2025.

CSI

13.7. Erf 855, 17 Exner Avenue, Vredehoek, S34 – Additions & Alterations Case No: 27779CSI0312 <https://sahris.org.za/node/391194>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Work applied for has not started
- Graded IIIC/4
- Outside HPO
- Proposed work is for a flat roof second story addition to the existing dwelling with chromadek cladding
- CoCT provided comment and do not support the application stating the current proposed work is not supported as it will detract from the significance of the semi-detached typology, the contextual significance of the site and the roofscape of the area. The flat roof second storey and extensive chromadek cladding is out of keeping to the abutting unit, and the existing significant historical elements and features of near distant sites. Additions to the existing roof such as appropriately placed roof dormers (for rooms in a roof) is a way forward. Or alternatively is an extension to the rear encouraged. The latter can extend from the current ground floor footprint with either its own hipped pitched roof or have a flat roof and deck. Internal changes such as an internal staircase can be accommodated.
- CIBRA provided comment and do not support the application stating design of roof terrace roofing and balustrade to tie in with those on the first floor, so that these elements relate and uniformly. Choice of cladding material not supported, preferably one type, eg timber or bamboo planks or bamboo castellated cladding. The applicant revised the design and CIBRA supported the second revision.
- Applicant response to CIBRA: 1. Support the concern that the roof terrace cladding material to match level 01 cladding material. 2. We have altered design of pergola over to be demountable to

reduce visual impact 3. In essence support the change in cladding material to composite wood – as supported by CIBRA, 4. We would like specific comment from HWC if original cladding material of Chromadek cladding could be considered as alternative.

- Applicant response to CoCT: 1. We question City of Cape town comment “extensive chromadek cladding is out of keeping to the abutting unit, and the existing significant historical elements and features of near distant sites”. The design approach was taken to provide modern low maintenance alternative in light colour to offset the new addition from the old. 2. We question City of Cape Town comment “detract from the significance of the semidetached typology”. The addition is visually obstructed with existing pergola and planting. The building as a whole is also set back from the street edge with existing garage door on the street boundary. We feel the proposed addition does not affect the streetscape and is scaled to still depict semi-detached typology in a modern design. 3. Our response to “Additions to the existing roof such as appropriately placed roof dormers (for rooms in a roof) is a way forward. Or alternatively is an extension to the rear encouraged. The latter can extend from the current ground floor footprint with either its own hipped pitched roof or have a flat roof and deck.” Addition to the rear is not possible as a result of servitude located at the rear of the building. The current roof allows for very little additional floor space gained and positioning the staircase to allow access to the roof has large implication on the existing ground floor layout.
- All parties have been invited to HOMS.

HELD OVER

The Committee resolved to undertake a site inspection on 16 April 2026.

CSI

13.8. Erven 97140 & 97141, 72 Palmyra Road, Claremont, S34 – Minor Works **Case No: 27417CSI0216 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389405>**

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received 30 March for internal and streetscape photos
- Work applied for has not started
- Previous application: HWC24041205CH1024 (TD of barn structure)
- Graded IIIB
- Outside HPO
- Proposed work is for new timber ballustrade, new deck, and internal drywalling.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CSI

13.9. Erf 1316, 38 Ocean View Drive, Green Point, S34 - Total Demolition **Case No: 27777EJV0312 <https://sahris.org.za/node/390835>**

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding Information received on 31 March 2026
- Graded IIIC
- Outside HPO
- Work has not started
- The proposal is for the total demolition of the derelict structure on site.
- CoCT support noting that while the structures on site are older than 60-years, they are no longer legible as conservation-worthy and do not contribute to the character of a much-altered area. GPRRA did not respond in 30-days

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

EJV

13.10. Erf 65073, 34 Wargrave Road, Kenilworth, S34 - Additions & Alterations

Case No: 27853RB0317 <https://sahris.org.za/node/391569>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received 8 April 2026.
- Graded IIIC.
- Inside proposed Kenilworth PHA HPOZ.
- Work has started but has not been completed with the owner noting that work has stopped on site.
- Part of this application is to regularize some of the work already done.
- The client had approved plans for the double storey addition, but the plans lapsed.
- The client now wants to complete the proposal of a double storey addition.
- The proposed work is for the second storey addition/new dwelling space.
- The only 2018 permit work completed was the garage extension.
- For regularisation (i.e., completed work) is the timber deck, new tool shed, pergola over the garage/entrance, and the internal rearrangement (i.e., bedroom/en-suite, guest bathroom, and kitchen).
- LKID did not respond to request for comment within 30 days.
- CoCT E&HM issued a comment of support also noting that the 2018 proposal was more sympathetic to the street interface but given its integration in the neighbourhood is now more of an NCW.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

RB

13.11. Erf 14139, 10 van der Meulen Street, Bo-Kaap, S34-Additions, Alterations, and/or Partial demolition

Case No: HWC25100904SB0116 <https://sahris.org.za/node/388348>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

Anees Abbas and Ebrahim Christian were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Proposal: A new pergola, deck and ballustrades on the roof of the existing dwelling.
- Work start: According to the application form – yes but not complete.
- Grade: 3C
- HPO: inside
- Comments: City notes that the timber pergola on the 3rd level is not suitable for the Georgia style dwelling and the streetscape. HRS's support depends on its removal, despite it being built. Bo-Kaap Tourism Association did not provide a comment within 30days.
- Bo-Kaap youth movement comment received on 19 March 2026- does not have any negative comment.
- Email from applicant on 28 Feb states that all work indicated on Red is completed work. Response

from CoCT comment noted as the following- 1. Response to the City of Cape Town comments: The new work completed on the roof level of the building must be seen in context with the immediate neighborhood. Various examples of similar constructions are visible on other properties; it is not clear whether these structures have ever been approved.

- Nevertheless, the buildings are so close to each other the very little is visible on the roof of the buildings on that level. The structures are also of a temporary nature, and no complaints and/or objections have been received from any other party, although the work has been completed for some time already.
- Comment Bo-Kaap Civic and Ratepayers Association (bokaapcivic2022@gmail.com) is apparently not commenting anymore? need committee input here on the 30 March, HOMs meeting.
- POA - to be signed by both owners (obtained).
- HOMs noted the application incomplete on 30 March and requested
- 1. Revised drawing indicating the work completed as distinct from the work proposed; and 2. Proof of communication with Bo-Kaap Civic Association (BKCA)."
- 1 has been complied with as plans marked – indicating all work is completed.
- 2 has been complied with and proof of email to BKCA (bokaapcivic01@gmail.com) as applicant contact bokaapcivic2022@gmail.com which is the email address on the website. (screenshot provide in the evidence file)
- The consultation attempt with the Bo-Kaap Civic Association (29 January 2026) used an incorrect email address; however, as this address appears on the official website, it was agreed that the attempt can be accepted.
- The large, glazed sliding door was not considered problematic, as it will not be visible from the street and the resource is graded IIIC.
- The pergola on the 3rd storey has already been constructed. Concerns were raised regarding its appropriateness given the sensitivity of the Bo-Kaap area and proximity to a significant heritage context. However, its visibility from the street is uncertain and requires further discussion.
- It was noted that the other pergola is sufficiently set back and not visible from the street and is therefore not of concern.

DECISION

HWC does not condone unauthorised work. The committee resolved to issue a Section 51 letter, as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

SB

13.12. Erf 169, 89 de Beers Road, Strand, S34-Additions & Alteration Case No: HWC25082810SB0915

CASE SUMMARY

- Proposal: additional bathroom, demolition of a wall for new lounge, dining room and kitchen area. As well as new chimney, roof sheets, braai, external walls on the south elevation.
- Additional information received: 12 February, 6 March and 7 April 2026
- Work start: According to the application form – no
- Grade: not graded
- HPO: outside
- Comments: HR Comment and CoCT comment of support (emails).

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

- 13.13. Erf 4979, Stokery Way, Wellington, S34-Additions, Alterations, and/or Partial demolition**
Case No: 27566SB0226 <https://sahris.org.za/node/390062>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposal: Demolish the existing boundary wall and replace it with a new boundary wall
- Work start: No
- Grade: IIIC
- HPO: Inside
- Comments: Municipality object and stated that columns of the boundary wall must projected towards the streetscape, fencing panel must implemented for every second panel along First Street, plaster band at the top. DHF support the revised proposal but feels that the columns on the perimeter wall would be better placed on the Lady Loch side to add definition and reduce the monotony of the facade (there is a note about the motivation that I am not 100% understanding). WHF has no objection but suggest that plaster band across the top-to round off the plaster work.
- outstanding information received on the 24 March 2026 external of the building.
- outstanding information internals (or motivation for why cannot obtain these) and response to the objection. Additional information received on 25 and 24 March, motivation why internal cannot be obtained, objection responded to about the boundary wall stating that "" client wanted a solid boundary wall, after receiving the objections, we suggested the updated drawings with every second wall gets replaced with Betafence and the panels are plastered and painted""
- The committee noted that boundary wall does not have negative impact on structures.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

- 13.14. Erf 9279, Stokery Way, Wellington, S34-Additions, Alterations, and/or Partial demolition**
Case No: 27567SB0226 <https://sahris.org.za/submissions/42338>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposal: Demolish the existing boundary wall and replace it with a new boundary wall
- Work start: No
- Grade: IIIC
- HPO: Inside
- Comments: WHF has no objections, Municipality object and stated that columns of the boundary wall must projected towards the streetscape, fencing panel must implemented for every second panel along First Street, plaster band at the top. DHF comment on revised proposal but feels that the columns on the perimeter wall would be better placed on the Lady Loch side to add definition and reduce the monotony of the facade (there is a note about the motivation that I am not 100% understanding).
- outstanding information received 24 March 2026 - external of the building,
- Revised comment of no objection from WHF received on 26 March 2026.
- letter provided motivating that internal cannot be obtained was noted.
- The committee noted that boundary wall does not have negative impact on structures.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SB

13.15. Portion 1 of Farm Vredenwerf 459, Off R44, Paarl, S38(1)-NID
Case No: 27695SB0306 <https://sahris.org.za/node/390391>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: Construction of a new barn (664 m²), labourer's cottage (127 m²), and carport (72 m²) on Farm 459 Portion 1, Paarl.
- Trigger: Section 38(1)(c) – development changing the character of a site.
- Other: None
- Grade: Not graded.
- HPO: Outside
- Heritage resource: Agricultural farm landscape; no identified heritage resources on site.
- Archaeology: No archaeological resources identified; low likelihood due to small footprint within an agricultural landscape.
- No other resource was identified – map and KM did not load.
- Additional information received on the 8 April including POA, KM of the site.
- Since there is no reason to believe herniate will be impact.

DECISION

The Committee resolved that no further studies are required, as heritage resources will not be impacted upon.

SB

13.16. Erf 1125, Unit 55 Beach Court, 1 London Road, Sea Point, S34-Alterations
Case No: 27453SVB0218 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389591>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Power of attorney from the second owner of the property is required.

SVB

13.17. Erf 1375, 21 Ambleside Road, Pinelands, S34-Minor Works
Case No: HWC24031102SVB0314

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 03 October 2025 and 27 March 2026.
- The property is not graded and is outside of any HPO.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for minor alterations to the loft within the existing roof space to make it habitable and the addition of a new balcony off the new loft space.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

13.18. Erf 28148-RE, 7 Forfar Road, Observatory, S34-Minor Works
Case No: 27841SVB0323 <https://sahris.org.za/node/391550>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 28 March 2026.
- The property is graded IIIB and is within the Lower Observatory HPOZ.
- Work has started but has not been completed. Work has halted on site.
- The pergola addition to the main dwelling has not started. Demolition of the existing store which is not older than 60 years has been completed and the new store has partially been constructed in its place, however this does not trigger the NHRA.
- CoCT Grading Notes: *"High degree of intactness. Rare pedestrian gates"*
- CoCT Statement of Significance: *"Mid 20th century residential densification. Fairly good architectural example. Part of a relatively intact streetscape of the period."*

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

13.19. Erf 47183-RE, 17 Canigou Avenue, Rondebosch, S34-Additions
Case No: 27501SVB0223 <https://sahris.org.za/node/389862>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 01 April 2026.
- The property is graded IIIA and is within the Sandown PHA proposed HPOZ.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for a second storey addition to the existing gym building to accommodate changing rooms and various internal and external alterations to the existing structure. The proposal is also for new squash courts elsewhere on the site.
- CoCT support the proposal with the following conditions that the plaster relief should be fully recorded prior to any work being undertaken; Further research into the origins and authorship of the gable plasterwork is required to inform whether there should be carefully dismantled for potential reuse, display or even interpreted and incorporated. A specialist art historian should be consulted in this regarding for additional comment,
- RCID support the proposal.
- GLCA do not object to the proposal.
- The existing building proposed to accommodate the second storey appears first on the 1958 aerial imagery. According to the applicant the structure was built in 1953 and used at the time as a changing room for the swimming pool.
- The existing building proposed to be altered for use as squash courts only appears in 1980 aerial imagery and therefore does not trigger the NHRA.
- CoCT Grading Notes: *"Requires a CMP."*
- CoCT Statement of Significance: *"Early/mid-20th century school complex. One of the earlier schools in the area. Campus includes numerous buildings dating from the early 20th century. Mature tree plantings contribute to the setting and sense of place of the school campus."*
- Mr Mike Scurr from undertook a survey of the site and proposed a grading of IIIC for the structure in question. Mr Scurr indicated that this was based on its general conformance to the overall campus language and materiality, and its contributing role to the campus overall. Mr Scurr in his report recommends that the proposal can be supported from a heritage perspective, that the plaster reliefs should be fully reordered prior to any work being undertaken and that further research into the origins and authorship of the gable plasterwork is required to inform whether these should be carefully dismantled for potential reuse, display or even interpreted

and incorporated, a specialist art historian should be consulted in this regarding for additional comment. Mr Scurr also noted the following:

- The existing building is part of the campus pattern but is an isolated and somewhat lesser building overall.
 - Adaptive reuse which builds on the pattern of the of the 1954 building, with its relationship to the road, river, main campus and mountain view, is a sound and defensible strategy.
 - The existing building is outdated, dark and rather heavy and does not speak to its function and appears instead as a somewhat drab and dated facility. It also does not speak to contemporary requirements in terms of training and sport facilities.
 - The proposal by Bruce Burmeister retains the more closed heavy base floor with a lighter glazed upper floor and three separate red pavilion type roofs.
- The applicant has noted that Mike' Scurr's recommendations will be adopted prior to construction commencing.

FURTHER REQUIREMENTS

The Committee resolved to request the following further requirements:

1. The plaster reliefs should be fully recorded, and this report must be submitted to Heritage Western Cape.
2. Further research into the origins and authorship of the gable plasterwork is required to inform whether these should be carefully dismantled for potential reuse, display or even interpreted and incorporated. The proposal should be amended in accordance with the outcome of this research.

SVB

13.20. Erf 848, 27 Avenue Disandt, Fresnaye, S34-Alterations & Additions

Case No: HWC25111107SVB0112 / 27002SVB0112 <https://sahris.org.za/node/388217>

CASE SUMMARY

- Outstanding information received on 17 February and 31 March 2026.
- The property is graded IIIC and is outside of any HPO.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for an extension to the rear of the property.
- CoCT support the proposal.
- Sea Point, Fresnaye & Bantry Bay Ratepayers & Residents' Association did not respond.
- Sea Point For All does not object to the proposal.
- CoCT Grading Notes: *"Has some intact elements such as its chimney and form but has been modernised."*
- CoCT Statement of Significance: *"Is part of the early to mid-20th century development of Fresnaye. Is a fairly good architectural example as has been altered. Has a good interface with the street. Contributes to the streetscape and area."*

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

13.21. Erf 919-RE, 126 Constantia Main Road, Constantia, S34-Alterations & Additions

Case No: 27692SVB0306 <https://sahris.org.za/node/390388>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 06 March and 28 March.
- The property is Not Graded and is outside of any HPO.
- Work has been completed.
- The application is for minor deviations from the 2025 approved plans, including but not limited to minor realignment of internal walls, scullery door and window, internal staircase columns and the pool room rear façade.
- A previous application for alterations and additions was approved by HWC in July 2025 (HWC25052016SVB0703)
- CoCT support the completed work.
- CRRA have no objections to the completed work.

COMMENT

HWC does not condone unauthorised work. The committee resolved to issue a Section 51 letter, as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

SVB

13.22. Erf 115287, 7 Willow Road, Observatory, S34-Minor Works
Case No: 27744XM0310 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/390742>

Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The proposal is for additions and alterations to the existing property including renewal of all the windows, repositioning the kitchen and bathroom and adding an additional bathroom within the same space, repositioning the living room and second bedroom to provide access to the back garden.
- Work has not started
- Not Graded
- Outside HPO

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

13.23. Erf 1541, 1 Welwyn Avenue, Pinelands, S34-Additions & Alterations
Case No: 27765XM0311 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/390776>

Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The outstanding information was received on 27 March 2026.
- The proposal is for additions and alterations including a proposed Bedroom extension and En-Suite Bathroom.
- Internal alterations.
- New tiled roof to replace roof destroyed by fire.
- New windows to replace windows destroyed by fire.
- Work has started, not completed- the extension has been completed
- Graded IIIC
- Outside HPO
- CoCT supports
- PRRA has no objection-stamped plan

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

- 13.24. Erf 1764, 21 Lourensford Road, Somerset West, S34-Minor Works**
Case No: 27144XM0122 <https://sahris.org.za/node/388520>

CASE SUMMARY

- The outstanding information was received on 23 March 2026. The proposal is for additions and alterations for minor alteration consisting of removing one internal wall to create a functional entrance and waiting area for professional office use. The proposal does not negatively impact the character, heritage, value or streetscape of the property. The proposed work is limited to the removal of one internal non-loadbearing wall to create a larger reception area. No additional internal or external alterations are proposed.
- With regard to the 2019 demolition proposal, this concept is no longer applicable. It was part of a previous development consideration that was not pursued due to changed circumstances.
- The work has not started.
- The property has not been graded yet the City declines its opportunity to comment on heritage grounds
- Outside HPO
- The HRF supports

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

- 13.25. Erf 2306, 11 Ray Mansions, 24 St James Street, Vredehoek, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27819XM0313 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/391478>

CASE SUMMARY

- The outstanding information received on 01 April 2026.
- The application is for additions and alterations for a new loft space within the existing roof with dormers proposed.
- Work has not started
- Graded IIIC
- Outside HPO
- CoCT supports

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

- 13.26. Erf 280, 3 Fir Avenue, Bantry Bay, S34-Minor Works**
Case No: 27839XM0316 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/391547>

Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The outstanding information received on 02 April 2026. Proposed roof material change from a metal sheet roof (approved in the previous application) to a tiled roof to match the existing building.
- Work has not started
- Graded IIIC, outside HPO

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

- 13.27. Erf 29116, 5 Montreal Road, Mowbray, S34-Minor Works**
Case No: 27799XM0312 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/391326>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Streetscape photographs and outstanding payment of R4000.

XM

- 13.28. Erf 3582, 16 Brand Street, Strand, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No: HWC25080708XM0813

CASE SUMMARY

- The outstanding information received on 01 April 2026.
- The proposal is for additions and alterations to convert the existing domestic quarters into a bedroom with ensuite bathroom.
- Work has not started
- Not Graded
- Outside of HPO
- COCT declines comment
- HRF supports

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

- 13.29. Erf 601-RE, Unit 102, Section 7 Knightsbridge, 235 Beach Road, Sea Point, S34-Minor Works**
Case No: 27673XM0305 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/390351>

Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The outstanding information received on 01 April 2026.
- Proposed additions and alterations for internal renovations to existing flat. Replacing all external windows with new to BC rules. New ensuite to bed 2 and open planning for kitchen.
- Graded IIIB
- Outside HPO

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

14. NEW MATTERS

- 14.1 Erven 2231 & 2246, 165 Main Road, Paarl, S27-Signage**
Case No: 27946CH0324 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/394542>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Graded II inside the SCPAOZ of Paarl.
- Proposal is to install signage on site.
- Work has not started.
- DHF supports.
- Previous applications:
 - S27 A&A permit issued on 9 November 2023 (HWC23062306RG0626). There is a mitigation agreement for the site due to previous unauthorized work.
 - S27 permit issued on 22 May 2024 for changing the planning status (HWC24041102EJV0418)
 - S27 deviations permit issued on 10 December 2025 (HWC25100703CH1007).

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

- 14.2 Erf 1151, 34 Fabriek Street, Paarl, S34- Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27938CH0324 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/394284>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Photographs of building's street facing facade and existing boundary wall treatment and applicant's response to the boundary wall objection from DHF required.

CH

- 14.3 Erf 505 17 Flower Street, Hermanus, S34- Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27924CH0324 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/393961>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Streetscape photographs, external photographs at the rear, OHAC comment required.

CH

- 14.4 Erf 1602, 2 Mill Street, Strand, S34-Minor Works**
Case No.: 27952CH0407 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/394571>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Streetscape photographs, Company Resolution authorizing Mr. Willem Fourie to sign on behalf of White Orchards Investments 4 CC, clarity on the work being regularized and details of the internal improvements.

CH

- 14.5 Erf 234, 46 Bath Street, Montagu, S34-Minor Works**
Case No.: 27965CH0407 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/395344>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Streetscape photographs, POA authorizing Mr. Hendrik Malherbe Oostehuizen to sign on behalf of the owner (as stated on the application form) and for the POA to authorize Mr. Jan Daniel Oostehuizen to submit on behalf of the owner, and outstanding payment of R4000.

CH

14.6 Erf 94938, 4A Wilkinson Street, Gardens, S34-Minor Works
Case No.: 27968CH0407 / <https://sahris.org.za/node/395353>

Ms Chane Herman introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Graded IIIA inside HPO
- Proposal is for internal refurbishments at the Librisa Spa. The work is to areas that have already been modernized.
- Work has not started.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

CH

14.7 DR1316, Heidelberg, S38(8) – NID
Case No: 27943CSI0324 <https://sahris.org.za/node/394295>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Trigger: N/A
- Proposed development: Upgrading of causeway C12036. The works include the construction of six additional precast concrete portal culverts, each measuring approximately 2100 mm wide by 1500 mm high, installed on top of and integrated with the existing causeway structure, which will be retained. A new reinforced concrete road slab of approximately 200 mm thickness will be constructed over the existing slabs.
- Current zoning/land-use: Transport
- Built environment: No impacts to any historical structures
- Visual impacts: No visual impacts expected as it is for maintenance.
- Archaeology: Within a road reserve, no impact to archaeological material.
- Palaeontology: Palaeo sensitivity according to the SAHRIS palaeo map is very high however the proposed work is within a road reserve

COMMENT

The proposal does not trigger the NHRA in terms of Section 38(1). A letter of no trigger to be issued.

CSI

14.8 Erf 189, 61 Main Road, Wellington, S34 – Total Demolition
Case No: 27951CSI0325 <https://sahris.org.za/node/394570>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

Lenize Gerber (WHF), Mandri Viljoen, and Nicolene Visser (DHAC) were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- Work applied for has not started
- Not Graded according to the Drakenstein Heritage Survey. The applicant has assessed the building to be of IIIB significance.
- Inside HPO
- Proposed work is for the demolition of existing buildings to prepare the property for a new, structurally compliant building. The proposed development will be utilised for office purposes and will be aligned with the property's existing zoning and land use rights.
- DHF and Paarl 300 provided comment and support the application
- DHAC stated that they would need to assess the replacement structure for final comment before the application is submitted to HWC as the building is within an HPOZ and that the replacement building plans would need to be approved by HWC.
- WHF objected to the demolition stating that the building lies within the Special Character Protected Area Overlay Zone and since there are no replacement building plans they cannot support the total demolition as they are not able to assess the replacement structure before demolition.
- Applicant response to objections: The existing building contributes to the overall historic streetscape but has limited individual heritage significance. It has been vacant for a prolonged period following the termination of a lease with the South African Police Service, during which time it has suffered unlawful occupation, vandalism, and theft, resulting in severe deterioration. The structure is now unsafe, substantially compromised by vagrants, and no longer suitable for retention or adaptive reuse, negatively affecting the character of the heritage overlay zone. Although the intention is to redevelop the site in line with current zoning, detailed architectural plans have not yet been prepared, and any approval obtained now would be time-limited and may not lead to immediate construction. Retaining the deteriorated structure in the interim would likely cause greater harm to the streetscape than allowing demolition at this stage. It is therefore proposed that demolition be approved subject to conditions requiring that future development be reviewed and approved by HWC and relevant heritage bodies prior to construction. This approach allows the unsafe building to be removed promptly while ensuring that any replacement development undergoes full heritage scrutiny in accordance with statutory requirements.
- All parties have been invited to attend HOMS.

FURTHER REQUIREMENTS

The Committee is supportive of the proposed total demolition as there is insufficient material heritage significance to warrant retention of the structure. However, the committee acknowledges the context to be of heritage significance and therefore the following is required:

1. Heritage design informants for the replacement structure compiled by a suitably qualified heritage practitioner must be submitted to HWC.
2. Plans for the replacement structure must be submitted to HWC in alignment with the heritage design informants.
3. The above must be circulated to I&APs for the 30-day consultation period and comments or proof of consultation must be submitted to HWC.

CSI

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

KHS comment required.

CSI

- 14.10 Erf 2928, 16 Disa Avenue, Kommetjie, S34 - Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27945CSI0324 <https://sahris.org.za/node/394541>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Title deed (not uploaded properly), comments from CoCT, KHS required.

CSI

- 14.11 Erf 53017, 2 Ravensworth Road, Claremont, S34 – Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27980CSI0327 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395405>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Annotated plans indicating completed work required.

CSI

- 14.12 Erf 533 Zeekoevlei Crescent, Durbanville, S38(1) - HIA**
Case No: 25927CSI0818 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395544>

Ms Chiara Singh introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- NID summary: NID application was heard at HOMS on 1 September 2025 whereby the Committee resolved that an HIA with VIA is required.
- Proposed development: subdivision of a portion of Erf 533 Clara Anna Fontein (14 276,66m²) into 16 Single Residential erven (ranging from 719 – 737m²) and a private road. Part of a phased development.
- Visual impacts: The broader Durbanville farmland landscape is graded 3A (high local significance). The original farm werf survives as a modified cultural landscape remnant. The historic homestead is largely a 1920 reconstruction of an earlier Cape Dutch building destroyed by fire in the late 1800s. The homestead and cellar are graded 3C (low to moderate significance) due to alterations and loss of original fabric. The proposed development does not form part of the historic werf, does not contribute meaningfully to heritage landscape structure, and is already surrounded by modern residential development. The VIA conducted concluded that the proposed development will not significantly affect views or the setting of the homestead due to existing screening vegetation, topography and embankments, distance (approx. 30m separation), orientation of the homestead away from the site, and the single-storey height restriction. Visual mitigation measures for the proposed development include muted colours and natural materials and articulated massing further enforced by the retention of existing trees, planting of additional indigenous vegetation and landscaped buffer zones with a combination of berming, indigenous shrubs, and large trees used to anchor and settle the new dwellings. The use of permeable fencing, low-impact lighting, and planting to soften development edges will further mitigate the visual impact.
- Archaeology: According to the archaeological report compiled by ACRM dated November 2000, ESA and MSA lithics surface finds were present but with no associated finds. The report recommendations stated that no mitigation is required, no further detailed studies are required, and the development should be allowed to proceed. IACom on 13 July 2016 resolved that an archaeological monitoring brief be conducted by an appropriately qualified historical archaeologist of excavations in the vicinity of the 'Long House' and new fitness centre, and within the front garden of the manor house. The proposed development will have a green buffer zone which will separate it from the existing road and werf where the manor house is located therefore no archaeological impacts are expected
- Palaeontology: Palaeo sensitivity is low on the SAHRIS palaeo map.

- Public Participation Process: CoCT provided comment and are in support of the proposed development stating the following: A. There is little reason to believe that the proposed development will affect the significance of the site or the surrounding cultural landscape. B. The mitigation and design requirements set out on Pages 41-44 of the HIA report. C. The assessment of the impacts and recommendations, as highlighted on Page 48 of the HIA, are supported. DHS, VASSA, CTHF did not provide comment within 30 days.

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the application to IACom on 15 April due to the visual mitigation recommendations.

CSI

- 14.13 Erf 98078, 6 Pitlochry Road, Rondebosch, S34 – Minor Works**
Case No: 27977CSI0326 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395394>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Streetscape photographs and internal photographs required.

CSI

- 14.14 Erven 23907 & 24734, 230-2 Voortrekker Road, Maitland, S34 – Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27976CSI0326 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395393>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Internal photos required.

CSI

- 14.15 Erven 5667 & 5668, c/o Drury Lane and Kent Street, Zonnebloem, S35 – Destruction & Demolition**
Case No: CSI0407

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

PoP required.

CSI

- 14.16 Erven 32103 and 6300-RE, Stikland Hospital, Old Paarl Road, Bellville, S38(8) - HIA**
Case No: 20070811ND0812E

Chane Herman introduced the item on behalf of Emily Jane Vowles.

DISCUSSION

- In 2020, HOMs requested an HIA with specialist comparative study of other institutional buildings including the related built environment, and a social study focusing on the historical analysis with an assessment of the impact to the built environment and surrounding context for the proposal. In October 2021, when asked for clarification on the requirements, HWC noted that the requirement related to providing a broad overview of the development of the psychiatric hospitals within Cape Town which does not require oral narratives to be recorded. In July 2024, HWC were notified that the development footprint had expanded to an additional unutilised portion of Erf 6300-RE and thus triggers NEMA. HWC confirmed that the increased scope could be incorporated into the HIA which would be processed in terms of s38(8) rather than 38(4). The applicant confirmed on 26 March 2026 that they are in the final stage of submission to DEA&DP.
- The property concerned is the Stikland Hospital site, which is bisected by the R101, Old Paarl Main Road, which portions are commonly referred to as Stikland North and Stikland South, the latter containing the Stikland Psychiatric Hospital. The site is bordered by established, primarily full-title, low-density residential suburbs with the exception of Stikland Industria to the south. The northern site is largely vacant and neglected, with isolated buildings. There are isolated patches of natural vegetation, but from a botanical perspective, the site has no significance. There are

however some tree lines and copses which are the only form-giving landscape elements. The Stikland South landscape by contrast presents as open and well-tended, with hospital buildings spread across the site. A number of the tree lines and what was likely a eucalyptus woodlot remain. These and the tree planting along the perimeter drive are important, and the only form-giving landscape elements. There are four patches of Very High botanical sensitivity, one of which is a seasonal wetland, and the other three all support critically endangered vegetation types.

- While both sites are largely vacant and earmarked for development, the proposal prioritises Stikland South as a first phase; however both portions of the site have been fully assessed in heritage terms as it is likely the development of Stikland North will occur in some form and may be subject to another HIA process. The proposal is to develop Stikland South to accommodate between 1859 and 3253 new residential units, together with office and retail space. The development will include four-storey mixed-use buildings along Old Paarl Road, comprising affordable, social, and open market housing, commercial spaces and supported by a school and community facilities, while the western edge is being considered for a Community Clinic and a new Main Entrance to Stikland Hospital. A series of wetlands will be retained in a large urban park between Old Paarl Road and the Stikland Hospital and one on the western edge, alongside de la Haye Road. Demolition of one building older than 60 years, which is recommended as Not Conservation Worthy, is proposed. In terms of the 2023 Tygerberg District Plan, the Stikland Psychiatric Hospital precinct is identified as a New Development Area and “Strategic Site”.
- On this site, very few heritage related informants have been provided and there are therefore no heritage constraints to development. The only historical elements of some significance, being some of the trees and especially the eucalyptus woodlot, are considered opportunities for placemaking in this featureless landscape. These trees are to be retained as far as feasible, per the recommendations of the Landscape Framework Plans and Forest Assessment (Annexure D). The areas of High to Medium botanical sensitivity are similarly to be avoided
- Consultation produced the following concerns:
 - The wetlands and ecological sensitivities
 - Understatement of the significance of the hospital as a historic institution with intangible social and cultural significance
 - Negative impact on the functioning of the hospital
 - Retention of the eucalyptus woodlot ‘green-lung’
 - Marginalisation and disturbance of the patients
 - The scale and density being out of character for the suburban neighbourhood
 - Negative impact on the visual availability of the eucalyptus woodlot
 - Undervaluation of the no-go option and over reliance on economic benefit
- It is recommended by the heritage practitioner that Heritage Western Cape provides Final Comment to the following effect:
 - Endorses this report as having met the requirements of Section 38(3) of the NHRA;
 - In terms of Section 38(8) of the NHRA, supports the proposed development as having limited and acceptable heritage-related impacts.
 - Supports the proposed demolition of the buildings older than 60 years indicated on the demolition plan in Annexure D.

Subject to the following mitigations:

- A more detailed Tree Management Plan is required as part of the planning approval process for implementation.
- A qualified and experienced Arborist must be involved in the implementation /execution of the Tree Management Plan.
- Implementation of the Landscape design guidelines and requirements per Landscape Framework Plans and mitigations as per Forest Assessment included in Annexure D

REFERRAL

The Committee resolved to refer the application to IACom on 15 April due to the objections received and the request for final comment.

- 14.17 Erven 2662 & 15653, Cathedral & Memorium Street, George, S36-Test excavation**
Case No: SB0408

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Updated mythology statement and if there is any test excavation, a repository agreement must be submitted. Section 35 application is required for the GPR surveying. Approximate depth of test excavations is required.

SB

- 14.18 Erven 2662 & 15654, Cathedral & Memorium Street, George, S36-GPR**
Case No: SB0408

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Updated mythology statement and if there is any test excavation, a repository agreement must be submitted. Section 35 application is required for the GPR surveying. Approximate depth of test excavations is required.

SB

- 14.19 Erf 23/17, Mosselbank Street, Paternoster, S35-GPR**
Case No: SB0408

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

original comment or/and proof of consultation is required.

SB

- 14.20 RE/13, RE/1/13, 1/14, Roam WEF, Off N12, Prince Albert, S38(8)-HIA**
Case No:25301SB0529 <https://www.sahris.org.za/submissions/35124>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Proof of payment, the original comment from The Prince Albert Cultural Foundation (PACF) is a Conservation Body and the municipality is required.

SB

- 14.21 Erf 789, 14 Letchworth Road, Pinelands, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27975SB0326 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395386>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

POA required from Tanya Justine Annalene Golden to give permission for Ebrahim Jabobs to do the application. SG diagram just a snip but does show the boundaries – willing to accept.

SB

- 14.22 Erf 28829, 39 Malleson Road, Mowbray, S34-Total Demolition**
Case No: 27962SB0325 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395128>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Demolition plans, city of Cape Town comment, more streetscape images.

SB

- 14.23 Erf 313, 4 Cupido StreetPaarl, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27970SB0325 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395357>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

POA signed, updated Title deed. Updated plans need to indicate that all work is completed. Additional payment if all work is completed. Comments from Paarl 300 Foundation, Drakenstein Heritage Foundation and Municipality comments is required.

SB

- 14.24 Erf 795, 66 Victoria Street, Somerset West, S34-Additions, Alterations, and/or Partial demolition**
Case No: 27974SB0326 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395381>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

plans do not indicate that all work is completed. Additional payment as work is completed. CoCT and Helderberg Renaissance Foundation comment required

SB

- 14.25 Erf 2542, 95 Merriman Street, George, S34-Maintenance and Repairs**
Case No: 27949SB0324 <https://sahris.org.za/node/394550>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Outstanding information: streetscape photographs, updated method statement with photographs showing the work proposed or a set of drawings.

SB

- 14.26 Remainder Erf 1190, 149 Dorp Street, Stellenbosch, S27-Minor Works**
Case No: 27964SB0325 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395340>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposal: removing 40cm of soil to insert proper and necessary waterproofing and drainage to protect the building. Refill with subbase and concrete and retile with same style, size and shape of tiles.
- Work start: Yes, but only the soil was removed, only start with the refilling. Most of the construction work still be to complete.
- Stop works: 3 March 2026
- Grade: PHS
- HPO: Inside
- Comments: na as submitted as Minor Work – this is not an extension of the building and can be treated as minor works.
- HWC and Stellenbosch Municipality issued the stop works order. As noted by the applicant and one of the owners "Stoep" does not have proper waterproofing and as a result of this it has started to cave in and lead to potential and/or real damage to the building via water damage. Minor maintenance work is therefore absolutely necessary and required". This can be seen as emergency since water damage can impact the building. Not the best internal but is showing the water damage behind the stoep area. Support in principle - Stop works will need to be lift as well once approved.
- Outstanding information received on the 9 April 2026 that include photograph and methodology document indicating the work required to be completed, and more internal photographs. This to be stamped instead of a plan.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

14.27 Farm 579, Bodal Road, Daljosaphat, Paarl, S38(1)-NID
Case No: 27969SB0325 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395354>

Ms Stephanie Barnardt-Delport introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Proposed: Alterations to existing historic wine cellar and storage building; adaptive re-use of werf buildings for a private library and research facility; construction of a new building (2843 m² footprint) on Druk My Niet Farm.
- Trigger:
- No triggers in terms of Section 38(1) of the NHRA. Development footprint is below 5 000 m² and does not constitute a linear development or rezoning triggering S38.
- Other:
- NEMA not triggered.
- Grade:
- Grade IIIA (as per Drakenstein Heritage Survey, 2012/2013) for werf including homestead and wine cellar. Only two buildings are older than 60 years old.
- HPO:
- Located within the proposed Dal Josaphat Cultural Landscape (HPOZ overlay area).
- Heritage resource:
- Historic werf comprising Cape Dutch homestead and wine cellar associated with early colonial settlement and Huguenot history; contributes to broader cultural landscape significance.
- Archaeology:
- Low potential impact, possible occurrence of stone artefacts or isolated finds.
- Palaeontological:
- No significant impact anticipated or not identified by consultant, however according to SAHRIS palaeo-map, portion of the site is moderate sensitive area and the rest is unknown. Hence, there is a potential and chance finds should be incorporated in the municipality approval.
- Visual/Cultural:
- Low impact: development designed to integrate with existing werf and cultural landscape character.
- Consultant recommends:
- No HIA or NID response as development does not trigger Section 38; only Section 34 approval required for alterations to structures older than 60 years.
- The committee notes that there is no trigger in terms of section 38.

COMMENT

The proposal does not trigger the NHRA. A letter of no trigger to be issued.

SB

14.28 Erf 253, 9 Tinley Street, Barrydale, S34-Total Demolition
Case No: 27953SVB0407 <https://sahris.org.za/node/394575>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Streetscapes/contextual, local authority comment, and registered conservation body comment and formal required.

SVB

14.29 Erf 258, 4 Avenue De Longueville Avenue, Fresnaye, S34-Total Demolition
Case No: 27944SVB0324 <https://sahris.org.za/node/394304>

HELD OVER

The application is held over pending a site inspection.

SVB

- 14.30** Erf 2722, 77 Belmont Avenue, Gardens, S34-Alterations & partial demolition
Case No: 27922SVB0324 <https://sahris.org.za/node/393946>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- Outstanding information received on 25, 30 March and 08 April 2026.
- The property is graded IIIC/NCW and is within the Upper Table Valley HPOZ.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for partial demolition of a cottage at the rear of the property as well as alterations to the rear of the main dwelling and minor internal alterations.
- CIBRA support the proposal.
- CoCT recommend the proposal for HWC approval.
- The CoCT notes that the building *"is an unremarkable building with few original elements"* and that it is *"part of the early to mid-20th Century residential development of Oranjezicht. Is a fairly unremarkable architectural example. Has poor interface with the street due to its obscuring vegetations. Contributes to a well-preserved streetscape"*.

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

SVB

- 14.31** Erf 47773-RE, 91 Sandown Road, Rondebosch, S34-Additions & Alterations
Case No: 27966SVB0407 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395348>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Coloured up plans with dimensions, internal and streetscape images required.

SVB

- 14.32** Erf 54613-RE, 21 Herschel Road, Claremont, S34-Additions, Alterations & Partial Demolition
Case No: 27955SVB0407 <https://sahris.org.za/node/394726>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Clarity regarding local authority comment required.

SVB

- 14.33** Erf 58022, 31 Rutland Street, Claremont, S34-Additions & Alterations
Case No: 27967SVB0407 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395351>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Conservation body comment required.

SVB

- 14.34** Erf 97013-RE, Vredenhof, 76 Palmyra Road, Claremont, S27-Minor Works
Case No: 27973SVB0407 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395376>

Ms Sofia Briel introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The property is graded IIIB, IIIA and II and is outside of any HPO.
- Work has not started.
- The proposal is for replacement of existing asbestos roof tiles with nutec roof slates along with various metal flashings, valley gutters, ridge capping etc.; like-for-like replacement of existing metal roof sheeting; interventions to the roof structure to comply with current structural codes; repair of windows in classroom A-C, the workshop, art studio and toilets, storage, dark room and building A; refurbishment of existing bathrooms in the art studio; like-for-like replacement of the floor of the PHS's covered stoep and installation of a timber balustrade to match the existing balustrade on the balcony above; and like-for-like repair and maintenance of the balustrade on the PHS's balcony.
- CoCT Statement of Significance (PHS): *"Forms part of the early 20th century development of Claremont. Is a very good architectural example which has been slightly altered with additions. Has a poor interface with the street as is set back and obscured by the surrounding buildings. Contributes to the streetscape and area."*

FURTHER REQUIREMENTS

The Committee resolved to request the following further requirements:

1. The building plans and/or the specifications must be revised to consistently reflect the proposed work across these documents (specifically in relation to any work proposed to ceilings).
2. The building plans must include elevations for the balustrade proposed to the covered stoep.
3. The applicant must provide a photo report to accompany the specifications, which clearly identifies the areas of intervention.

SVB

14.35 Farm 744-RE, Stables Restaurant Temporary Marquee, Vergelegen Estate, Lourensford Road, Somerset West, S27-Minor Works
Case No: 27990SVB0327 <https://sahris.org.za/node/395430>

CASE SUMMARY

- The property is graded II and within the Lourens River Catchment Cultural Landscape.
- Work has not started.
- Vergelegen Stables Restaurant sustained damage after a fire in the beginning of March. The fire was contained to the southern wing of the building, destroying the thatched roof structure and kitchen facilities, as well as more extensive water and smoke damage to the rest of the building (extent of damage is being assessed and tbd).
- The Stables Restaurant structure is located within the PHS on the periphery of the historic core. The structure has its origins as stables dating to 1950s, with later conversions and a new western wing in 1990s, most recently converted into the Stables Restaurant in the early 2000s.
- According to the applicant the Stables Restaurant is one of the primary sources of income and employment on the estate. Given this, Vergelegen wish to erect a temporary restaurant, comprising of a marquee dining area 30m x 20m with overall height of 6,9m, a marquee kitchen 10m x 10m and mobile ablution facilities. The site chosen for these temporary structures is an open field adjacent to the Stables Restaurant.
- The temporary restaurant facilities will be used while remedial work is carried out on the Stables Restaurant. The remedial work to the stables is estimated to take 7 months. The temporary structures will be deconstructed in their entirety before the rebuilt restaurant opens.
- The proposed site for the temporary structures falls within a zone of medium to high visual sensitivity for temporary structures (in terms of the Draft Vergelegen Guidelines for Temporary Structures, August 2025), and therefore careful consideration needs to be given to the size and exact location of the structure to minimize visual impact.

- The proposed site is an open field directly south of the main east-west axis that runs from the Homestead through the Octagonal Garden to the mountain beyond. There is an avenue of trees between this axis and the site and clusters of trees in close proximity to the site. The trees will somewhat screen the temporary structures from view of the homestead precinct. However, the large marquee will be visible from the historic core through the trees.
- The field has no structures on it and is currently used for temporary functions.
- The site has been identified by Vergelegen as an appropriate location for the temporary structures as it provides sufficient space for the temporary restaurant, access to water and electricity and is within comfortable walking distance of the patrons from the existing parking area.
- The applicant notes in their report that: *“Although the proposed facility is visible in the historic core, it is temporary and will be cleared well before the end of the year. Given the urgent need to maintain the employment and income of the current Stables staff at Vergelegen, it is hoped HWC will consider this application favourably.”*
- Although the temporary marquee will be partially visible from the historic core, this is somewhat mitigated by the surrounding trees, and the structure will be removed in its entirety by the end of the year. The circumstances and need for a temporary restaurant outweigh the temporary visual impact of the structure. The chosen location is one of the more appropriate locations when considering visual impact and operational requirements.
- An email decision to approve the proposal was supported by 5 members of HOMs and a permit was issued to the applicant on 01 April 2026 with the following conditions:
 1. Work to be done strictly in accordance with the HWC stamped drawings.
 2. The approved structure must be removed by 31 January 2027.
 3. Failure to do so will result in the permit being revoked and legal action will be taken by Heritage Western Cape.
 4. Within 6 weeks of this permit being issued Heritage Western Cape must be provided with an update regarding the unauthorized Vergelegen Marquee, application, Case No. HWC24092613SJ0927.

FOR NOTING

The application was dealt with under the ASD delegation under item 9.8. The ASD in consultation with the relevant specialist staff resolved to approve the total demolition as there is insufficient heritage significance to warrant retention.

SVB

- 14.36 Erf 34891, Retief Street, Paarl, S34-Total Demolition**
 Case No: 27882XM0319 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/392496>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION

Internal and streetscape images required.

XM

- 14.37 Erf 1537, 6A Camberwell Road, Sea Point, S34-Minor Works**
 Case No: 27887XM0319 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/392508>

Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The proposal is for minor internal alterations and repairs including an external window change.
- Work has not started.
- Graded IIIC
- Inside HPO

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

14.38 Erf 19595, 16 Avondrus Street, Brooklyn, S34-Additions & Alterations
Case No: 27893XM0319 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/393070>

COMMENT:

As per the Provincial Notice 9051 of 2025 regarding NCW structures within the City of Cape Town Metropolitan, the application is exempt from the need to obtain a permit in terms of Section 34 of the NHRA.

XM

14.39 Erf 4568, 17 Alexander Street, Paarl, S34-Minor Works
Case No: 27899XM0319 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/393093>

Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The application is for minor alterations within the existing outbuilding, along with the addition of a window on the eastern facade.
- The internal alterations include making bigger the existing guest WC to function as an en-suite which includes a shower. A kitchenette will be added consisting out of a cabinetry unit. The existing entertainment room will be used as new bedroom that requires a window for natural light and ventilation. To break an opening through the bedroom room wall enlarging the space to be utilised as a study or home office. It is also proposed that an opening be made between existing laundry and study mentioned above, and to be utilised as extra storage space. The outbuilding is situated at the back of the property and the alterations proposed will have no visual impact on the streetscape.
- Work has not started
- Graded IIIC
- Inside HPO
- DHF has no objection
- Paarl 300 supports

DECISION

The Committee resolved to approve the application as the proposal does not negatively impact on heritage resources.

XM

14.40 Erf 12341, 5 Ranger Road, Fish Hoek, S34-Additions & Alterations
Case No: 27921XM0323 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/393945>

Ms Xola Mlwandle introduced the item.

DISCUSSION

- The application is for regularization for work already completed.
- The work includes a new pool, pergola, roof over the existing structure.
- Work has been completed.
- Graded IIIB
- Outside the HPO
- COCT supports

COMMENT

HWC does not condone unauthorised work. The committee resolved to issue a Section 51 letter, as the proposed work did not negatively impact the heritage resource.

For the above formal response to be issued, the applicant must first provide a proof of payment with the correct reference number indicating the correct amount having been paid as per the Provincial Notice 73/2025 in the Provincial Gazette Extraordinary No. 9088, dated 18 June 2025.

XM

- 14.41 Erf 154, 17 Maynard Street, Vredehoek, S34-Minor Works**
Case No: 27934XM0324 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/394179>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Power of Attorney signed by all owners and Internal images required

XM

- 14.42 Erf 2281, Ellerton Building, 229 Main Road, Green Point, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 2793XM0329 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/394285>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Company/Trust Resolution

XM

- 14.43 Erf 78930, 22 Mile End Road, Diep River, S34-Additions & Alterations**
Case No: 27971XM0326 <https://www.sahris.org.za/node/395370>

OUTSTANDING INFORMATION:

Company/Trust Resolution is required.

XM

15. Other Matters

16. Adoption of Resolutions and Decisions:

XM moves to adopt and SVB seconds the adoption of resolutions and decisions of the meeting of 13 April 2026.

17. Closure: The chairperson, Ms Chane Herman, officially closed the meeting at

18. Date of next meeting: 20 April 2026

MINUTES APPROVED AND SIGNED BY:

CHAIR: _____

SECRETARY: _____

Abbreviations

APHP - Association of Professional Heritage Practitioners

AHP - Agulhas Heritage Society

BKCA - Bo-Kaap Civic Association

BKYM - Bo-Kaap Youth Movement

CIBRA - City Bowl Ratepayers' & Residents Association

CoCT - City of Cape Town

CTHT - Cape Town Heritage Trust

CRM - Cultural Resources Management

DRHCA - De Rust Heritage Conservation Association

Docomomo South Africa

DHS - Durbanville Heritage Society

EHM - Environmental Heritage Management department

FoBCA - Friends of Blaauwberg Conservation Area

FE - Friends of Elim

GLCA - Greater Lynfræ Civic Association

GPRRA - Green Point Ratepayers & Residents Association

HVA - Harfield Village Association

HMB - Heritage Mossel Bay

HVTA - Hex Valley Tourism Association

HBRRA - Hout Bay & Ratepayers and Residents Association

HIA - Heritage Impact Assessment

ILASA - Institute for Landscape Architecture in South Africa

KBHA - Kalk Bay Historical Association

KBSJRR - Kalk bay-St James Ratepayers & Residents Association

LKID - Lower Kenilworth Improvement District

MHCS - Muizenberg Historical Conservation Society

NCW - Not Conservation Worth

NEMA - National Environmental Management Act (No. 107 of 1998)

NID - Notification of Intent to Develop

NCOA - Noetzie Conservancy Owners Association

OH - Oudtshoorn Heritage

Paarl300 Foundation

PRRA - Pinelands Ratepayers & Residents Association

PACF - Prince Albert Cultural Foundation

SAHRA - South African Heritage Resources Agency

SRHS - Salt River Heritage Society

SFB - Sea Point, Fresnaye & Bantry Bay Ratepayers & Residents Association

SvDSF - Simon van der Stel Foundation Cape Town

STHS - Simon's Town Historical Society

SHF - Stellenbosch Heritage Foundation

SHCT - Stillbaai Heritage Conservation Trust

GHT - George Heritage Trust

RVRA - Rustenburg Valley Residents Association

TRHCS - Touws River Heritage and Conservation Society

VASSA - Vernacular Architecture Society of South Africa

WUECAG - Walmer/University Estate Conservation Action Group

WCAC - West Coast Aboriginal Council

WAAC - Woodstock Aesthetic Advisory Committee

WHCS - Worcester Heritage & Conservation Society

WRRRA - Wynberg Residents and Ratepayers Association

ASAPA - Association of Southern African Professional Archaeologists

ABHC - Associated Bibbys Hoek Conservancy (Knysna)

BELCOM - Built Environment and Landscapes Committee

CBCRA - Camps Bay Clifton Ratepayers Association

CSDBOA - Clifton-On-Sea & District Bungalow Owners Association

CTHF - Cape Town Heritage Foundation

CRRA - Constantia Ratepayers & Residents Associations

CMP - Conservation Management Plan

DWCA - De Waterkant Civic Association

DHF - Drakenstein of Heritage Foundation

EMPr - Environmental Management Program

FHRA - Franschhoek Heritage and Ratepayer Association

FoCVGB - Friends of Constantia Valley Green Belts

GBRM - Great Brak River Museum

GVHAG - Greater Vredehoek Heritage Action Group

GCS - Greyton Conservation Society

HRF - Helderberg Renaissance Foundation

HOMs - Heritage Officers Meeting

HPO - Heritage protects overlay.

HWC - Heritage Western Cape

IACom - Impact Assessment Committee

IGIC - Inventories, Gradings and Interpretations Committee

KHS - Kommetjie Heritage Society

MGHS - McGregor Heritage Society

NHC - Napier Heritage & Conservation

NASDB - Nelspoort Agency of Sustainable Development body

NHRA - National Heritage Resources Act (No. 25) of 1999

NRA - Newlands Residents Association

OCA - Observatory Civic Association

OHAC - Overstrand Heritage and Aesthetics Committee

PPP - Public Participation Process

PHCT - Pniel Heritage and Cultural Trust

RMCA - Rosebank and Mowbray Planning & Architectural Committee

SAHRIS - South African Heritage Resources Information System

SPFA - Sea Point for All Group

SHC - Stanford Conservation Trust & Stanford Heritage Committee

SIG - Stellenbosch Interest Group

SHA - Swellendam Heritage Association

OWVS - Old Wynberg Village Society

TVHF - Tulbagh Valley Heritage Foundation

UCRRA - Upper Claremont and Residents and Ratepayers Association

WERRA - Walmer Estate Ratepayers and Residents Association

Ward 2 Forum

WCC - Whale Coast Conservation

WRA - Woodstock Residents Association

WECA - Wynberg East Civic Association